

SNMMI Clinical Trials Network Research Series for Technologists: Clinical Research Primer—Regulatory Process, Part I: How and When Radiopharmaceuticals Can Be Used

Charlotte Denise Jeffers¹, Sarah A. Frye, CNMT, NMTCB(PET), NMTCB(NCT), CCRP², and John M. Hoffman³

¹Department of Radiology, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, Alabama; ²Department of Clinical Health Sciences, Saint Louis University, St. Louis, Missouri; and ³Huntsman Cancer Institute, University of Utah School of Medicine, Salt Lake City, Utah

CE credit: For CE credit, you can access the test for this article, as well as additional *JNMT* CE tests, online at <https://www.snmmilearningcenter.org>. Complete the test online no later than March 2025. Your online test will be scored immediately. You may make 3 attempts to pass the test and must answer 75% of the questions correctly to receive Continuing Education Hour (CEH) credit. Credit amounts can be found in the SNMMI Learning Center Activity. SNMMI members will have their CEH credit added to their VOICE transcript automatically; nonmembers will be able to print out a CE certificate upon successfully completing the test. The online test is free to SNMMI members; nonmembers must pay \$15.00 by credit card when logging onto the website to take the test.

The radiopharmaceutical development and approval process in the United States has changed dramatically over the past decade with the emergence of several new and exciting diagnostic and therapeutic drugs. This impressive expansion is a direct result of the symbiotic relationship that exists between drug development, clinical research, and improved regulatory guidance. The correlative increase in clinical research has introduced diverse opportunities for newcomers in medical and scientific professions. Knowing how to successfully navigate the clinical research process can be challenging for a novice. The pathway is highly regulated and, with the addition of radiopharmaceuticals, may be confusing and daunting. Moreover, very little clinical research education and training is provided in the typical collegiate curricula for these new initiates. This article will familiarize the reader with the U.S. regulatory process by providing basic definitions and understanding of how and when radiopharmaceuticals can be used in clinical research, including those involving investigational new drug applications and radioactive drug research committees. A later article will expand the reader's clinical research knowledge by focusing on the identity and role of the institutional review board.

Key Words: radiopharmaceutical; clinical research; clinical trial; investigational new drug; investigational new drug application; radioactive drug research committee

J Nucl Med Technol 2022; 50:2–9

DOI: 10.2967/jnmt.121.263499

Clinical research using both approved drugs and investigational new drugs (INDs) continues to augment scientific knowledge, direct the next wave of approved radiopharmaceuticals, and expand indications for currently approved drugs. Knowing the regulations for how and when

radiopharmaceuticals can be used in the clinical research setting is a crucial component for ensuring safe and effective outcomes. The sheer volume and granularity of material on the subject is vast and, to many, time-prohibitive. The intent of this article is to guide the reader through the expanse of radiopharmaceutical clinical research regulations to help build a solid base of knowledge.

BACKGROUND

Radiopharmaceuticals are a subset of traditional pharmaceuticals. In the United States, the primary requirements to establish safety and efficacy come from the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA), an agency of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. The regulations are identified in the *Code of Federal Regulations* (CFR), which is a compilation of rules and regulations formulated by the federal government. The CFR has 50 titles, each being dedicated to a particular agency or branch of the federal government. Title 21 is dedicated to food and drugs and comprises 3 chapters, which are further divided into 1,499 parts. These regulations may be referenced in clinical research documents, support materials, and in this article. For example, 21 CFR §312 means title 21 of the *Code of Federal Regulations*, section 312.

Additionally, the FDA publishes guidance documents on certain subjects. Although guidance documents are not enforceable, they represent the FDA's current thinking on a subject and provide practical information.

As with most topics today, federal regulations and guidance documents are easily searched on the Internet. To ensure the veracity of the information, one should use an official government website. The FDA federal regulations can be found through a variety of official online sources, including the FDA website (<https://www.fda.gov>), the electronic CFR website (<https://www.ecfr.gov>), the regulations.gov website (www.regulations.gov), and the U.S.

Received Nov. 10, 2021; revision accepted Dec. 16, 2021.

For correspondence or reprints, contact Charlotte Denise Jeffers (charlottejeffers@uabmc.edu).

Published online Dec. 21, 2021.

COPYRIGHT © 2022 by the Society of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging.

Department of Health and Human Services website (<https://www.HHS.gov>).

DEFINITIONS

Before we embark on a discussion of radiopharmaceutical clinical research, we need to review the following terms that are defined or referenced in 21 CFR or other research sources such as FDA guidance documents.

Adverse event means any untoward medical occurrence associated with a drug in humans, whether or not considered drug-related.

Case report form is a printed, optical, or electronic document containing all the protocol-required information recorded for the study or reported to the sponsor on each trial subject (1).

Clinical investigation means any experiment in which a drug is administered or dispensed to—or is used involving—one or more human subjects. The terms *clinical investigation*, *clinical study*, *clinical research*, and *clinical trial* are deemed to be synonymous for the purposes of this article.

Contract research organization means a person that assumes, as an independent contractor with the sponsor, one or more of the obligations of a sponsor, for example, design of a protocol, selection or monitoring of investigations, evaluation of reports, and preparation of materials to be submitted to the FDA.

Current good manufacturing practices are regulations containing minimum requirements for the methods, facilities, and controls used in manufacturing, processing, and packing of a drug product (2).

FDA approval of a drug means that data on the drug's effects have been reviewed and the drug is determined to provide benefits that outweigh its known and potential risks for the intended population (3).

Institutional review board (IRB) means any board, committee, or other group formally designated by an institution to review, approve the initiation of, and conduct periodic review of biomedical research involving human subjects. The primary purpose of such review is to ensure the protection of the rights and welfare of the human subjects.

IRB approval means the determination by the IRB that the clinical investigation has been reviewed and may be conducted at an institution within the constraints set forth by the IRB and by other institutional and federal requirements.

IND means a new drug or biologic drug that is used in a clinical investigation. The term also includes a biologic product that is used in vitro for diagnostic purposes. The terms *investigational drug* and *IND* are deemed to be synonymous for the purposes of this article.

IND application is a request from a clinical study sponsor to the FDA to authorize administration of an investigational drug or biologic product to humans.

Investigator means an individual who actually conducts a clinical investigation (i.e., under whose immediate direction the study is conducted or the drug is administered or dispensed to a subject). If an investigation is conducted by a

team of individuals, the investigator is the responsible leader of the team. The lay terms *principal investigator* and *primary investigator* are often used for the investigator.

Investigator's brochure is a comprehensive document summarizing the information obtained about an investigational product during a drug trial.

Multisite (or multicenter) clinical trial involves the implementation of the same clinical protocol at 2 or more independent investigational sites where participants are seen for an intervention or outcomes assessment. In a multisite trial, investigational sites are typically administratively or corporately distinct from each other (4).

New drug application (NDA) is the vehicle through which a drug sponsor formally proposes that the FDA approve a new pharmaceutical for sale in the United States. To obtain this authorization, a drug manufacturer submits in an NDA nonclinical (animal) and clinical (human) test data and analyses, drug information, and descriptions of manufacturing procedures.

Single-site clinical trial uses one investigational site to conduct and coordinate the protocol. Although a single-site clinical trial may enroll participants from multiple locations, those participants will receive an intervention or undergo outcome assessments under the direction and oversight of one research team located at one investigational site (4).

Site initiation visit is part of a sponsor's monitoring plan to ensure that participating sites comply with protocol requirements and conduct the study appropriately. An initiation visit takes place after the sponsor has selected the site for participating in a clinical trial and typically before patient enrollment.

Sponsor means an entity that takes responsibility for and initiates a clinical investigation. The sponsor may be an individual, pharmaceutical company, governmental agency, academic institution, private organization, or other organization. The sponsor does not actually conduct the investigation unless the sponsor is a sponsor-investigator. The terms *sponsor* and *sponsor-investigator* are deemed to be synonymous for the purposes of this article.

Sponsor-investigator means an individual who both initiates and conducts an investigation and under whose immediate direction the investigational drug is administered or dispensed. The term does not include anything other than an individual. The requirements applicable to a sponsor-investigator under this part include both those applicable to an investigator and those applicable to a sponsor. The terms *sponsor* and *sponsor-investigator* are deemed to be synonymous for the purposes of this article.

Subject means a human who participates in an investigation, either as a recipient of the IND or as a control. A subject may be a healthy human or a patient with a disease.

OVERVIEW OF RADIOPHARMACEUTICAL CLINICAL RESEARCH PROCESSES

21 CFR allows pharmaceutical products to be administered to human patients or subjects under any of the following 5 conditions:

1. A U.S. lawfully marketed drug administered under clinical care. These are drugs that have been studied in an IND clinical trial process. All data gathered during the clinical trial are submitted by the sponsor to the FDA in an NDA application. If the FDA determines that the NDA application meets all requirements, including, but not limited to, proving safety and efficacy, the drug will be approved and may be marketed and sold in the United States.
2. Emergency-use authorization. An FDA emergency-use authorization is a temporary permit to allow a drug to be administered to human patients without the requirement that clinical trials be completed and before an NDA application is approved. Emergency-use authorizations are approved to respond to designated chemical, biologic, radiologic, and nuclear emergencies. For example, coronavirus 2019 vaccines were approved under an emergency-use authorization in the United States before their eventual approval by the FDA.
3. A U.S. lawfully marketed drug administered within a clinical trial or other research project. Generally, regulations in 21 CFR §312 require that sponsors who wish to study a drug or biologic product in humans submit an IND application to the agency. However, these regulations also provide for the exemption of some studies from the requirement to submit an IND application if they meet certain criteria (5).
4. An IND administered within a clinical trial, clinical study, or other research project under an authorized IND application.
5. A U.S. lawfully marketed (i.e., FDA-approved) or investigational drug administered for basic research within a research study or project under a radioactive drug research committee (RDRC) (21 CFR §361).

The remainder of this article will focus on details for conditions 3–5.

IND APPLICATION FOR MARKETED DRUGS

Determining whether an IND application is needed to conduct a clinical investigation of a marketed (i.e., FDA-approved) drug depends primarily on the intent of the investigation and the degree of risk associated with the drug in the investigation. A clinical investigation of a marketed drug is exempt from the IND application requirements if all of the following criteria are met: The drug product is lawfully marketed in the United States. The investigation is not intended to be reported to the FDA as a well-controlled study in support of a new indication and there is no intent to use it to support any other significant change in the labeling of the drug. In the case of a prescription drug, the investigation is not intended to support a significant change in the advertising for the drug. The investigation does not involve a route of administration, dose, patient population, or other factor that significantly increases the risk (or decreases the acceptability of the risk) associated with the drug product. The investigation is conducted in compliance with the requirements for review by an IRB and with the requirements for informed consent. The investigation is conducted in compliance with the requirements of 21 CFR §312.7 (i.e., the investigation is not intended to promote or commercialize the drug product).

The potential sponsor of a planned clinical investigation using an approved drug is responsible for determining whether the investigation meets the criteria for an exemption. If there is uncertainty about whether the exemption criteria are met, the potential sponsor can seek advice from the FDA on the applicability of the IND regulations (5).

IND APPLICATIONS

During a new drug's early preclinical development, the sponsor's primary goal is to determine whether the product is reasonably safe for initial use in humans and whether the compound exhibits pharmacologic activity that justifies commercial development. When a product is identified as a viable candidate for further development, the sponsor then focuses on collecting the data and information necessary to establish that the product will not expose humans to unreasonable risks when used in limited, early-stage clinical studies (6).

Unless exempted, the sponsor for a clinical study must obtain authorization from the FDA for conducting the study by submitting an IND application. Such authorization must be secured before interstate shipment and administration of any new drug that is not the subject of an approved NDA (6).

IND Application Categories

The FDA recognizes 2 main IND application categories: commercial and research. A commercial IND application is one for which the sponsor (usually a corporate entity) intends to commercialize the product by eventually submitting a marketing application. A research (noncommercial) IND application is one for which the sponsor (generally an individual investigator, academic institution, or nonprofit entity) does not intend to later commercialize the product (6).

There are 3 main types of IND applications: investigator, emergency-use, and treatment or expanded-access applications. The emergency-use and expanded-access IND applications have some overlapping similarities. An investigator IND application (sometimes referred to as an investigator-initiated IND) is submitted by a physician who both initiates and conducts an investigation (i.e., the physician is the sponsor-investigator) and under whose immediate direction the investigational drug is administered or dispensed. A physician might submit a research IND application to propose studying an unapproved drug or an approved product for a new indication or in a new patient population. An emergency-use IND application allows the FDA to authorize use of an experimental drug in an emergency situation that does not allow time for submission of an IND application in accordance with 21 CFR §312.23 or 21 CFR §312.20. It is also used for patients who do not meet the criteria of an existing study protocol or if an approved study protocol does not exist. A treatment or expanded-access IND application, sometimes called compassionate use, is used for experimental drugs showing promise in the clinical testing for serious or immediately life-threatening conditions while the final clinical work is being conducted and the FDA review is taking place. This type of application

covers the use of IND products outside clinical trials to diagnose, monitor, or treat patients with serious or immediately life-threatening diseases or conditions when there are no comparable or satisfactory alternative treatment options. Under the FDA's current regulations, there are 3 categories of expanded access: expanded access for individual patients, including for emergency use (this differs from an emergency-use application because it is based on a single patient), expanded access for intermediate-sized patient populations (generally smaller than those typical of a treatment IND or treatment protocol—a treatment protocol is submitted as a protocol to an existing IND by the sponsor of the existing IND), and expanded access for widespread treatment use through a treatment IND or treatment protocol (designed for use in larger patient populations) (7).

The distinction between administering an investigational drug in the setting of a traditional clinical trial versus emergency use or an expanded-access IND lies in the intended use. In a traditional clinical trial, the intention is to understand the safety and effectiveness of the investigational drug; in expanded access and emergency use, the intention is treatment (7). Emergency-use and expanded-access IND applications are not part of the clinical research pathway and are therefore beyond the scope of this article.

IND Application Submission Pathway

INDs progress through FDA-regulated exploratory or traditional IND clinical research phases.

An exploratory IND (sometimes referred to as phase 0 studies or eIND) is a clinical trial that is conducted early in phase 1, involves very limited human exposure, and has no therapeutic or diagnostic intent (e.g., screening studies or microdose studies) (8). This is not a required phase but is a useful option in certain circumstances. Depending on the study, the informational requirements for exploratory IND studies are more flexible than for traditional IND studies. The IND is filed separately from a traditional IND application submission.

Traditional IND phases include phase 1, with a primary goal of assessing the safety of the drug; phase 2, with a primary goal of assessing efficacy and safety and determining the dose; phase 3, with a primary goal of assessing efficacy and monitoring adverse effects; and phase 4, which is post-marketing surveillance.

IND Application Process

The IND application requirements and processes for commercial versus noncommercial (i.e., research) INDs differ with respect to the route of filing. All commercial IND applications must be filed electronically, whereas noncommercial IND applications can be filed by electronic or paper options (9).

Sponsors of IND applications may obtain advice and guidance from the FDA at any stage of IND development. Before filing an IND application, the sponsor may have questions regarding key components such as protocol design, drug

specifics or pharmacology, and toxicology information. In these cases, the FDA allows the IND sponsor to request a pre-IND meeting (9). If granted, questions are provided to the FDA in advance of the scheduled meeting. After the meeting, the FDA provides formal responses to help guide critical decisions for the IND application submission.

The IND application must contain information in 3 broad areas: animal pharmacology and toxicology studies, manufacturing information, and clinical protocols and investigator information. Animal pharmacology and toxicology studies provide preclinical data to permit an assessment as to whether the product is reasonably safe for initial testing in humans. Also included are any previous experience with the drug in humans (can include use in countries outside the United States). Manufacturing information is information pertaining to the composition, manufacturer, stability, and controls used for manufacturing the drug substance and the drug product. This information is assessed to ensure that the company can adequately produce and supply consistent batches of the drug. Clinical protocols and investigator information are detailed protocols for proposed clinical studies to assess whether the initial-phase trials will expose subjects to unnecessary risks. Also, information is provided on the qualifications of clinical investigators—professionals (generally physicians) who oversee the administration of the experimental compound—to assess whether they are qualified to fulfill their clinical trial duties. Finally, commitments are made to obtain informed consent from the research subjects, to obtain review of the study by an IRB, and to adhere to the IND regulations in 21 CFR §312 (6).

Once the IND is submitted, the sponsor must wait 30 calendar days before initiating any clinical trials. During this time, the FDA has an opportunity to review the IND for safety to ensure that research subjects will not be subjected to unreasonable risk (6). During or at the end of the 30-d review, the FDA may either accept the IND application, allowing the clinical research to go into effect, or it may place the IND on clinical hold. The FDA does not “approve” an IND application but will provide authorization through a study-may-proceed letter or communication.

Clinical Hold

A clinical hold is an order issued by the FDA to the sponsor of an IND application to delay a proposed clinical investigation or to suspend an ongoing investigation. All or some of the investigations conducted under an IND application may be placed on clinical hold. When a proposed study is placed on clinical hold, subjects may not be given the investigational drug. When an ongoing study is placed on clinical hold, no new subjects may be recruited to the study and given the investigational drug; patients already in the study are expected to be taken off therapy involving the investigational drug unless treatment continuation is specifically permitted by the FDA in the interest of patient safety. Within 30 d of the clinical hold, the FDA will provide the applicant with a written explanation of the basis for the hold (10).

The IND sponsor is expected to address the cited deficiencies in writing and submit a complete response to the issues identified in the clinical-hold letter in a separate submission. Once the complete response to all clinical hold deficiencies has been received, the FDA will review the submission within 30 calendar days and determine whether the applicant's response to the clinical hold satisfactorily addresses the issues. The investigation may resume after the FDA has notified the applicant that the investigation may proceed (10).

If an IND applicant disagrees with the reasons cited for the clinical hold, the applicant may request reconsideration of the decision through the ombudsman and in accordance with dispute resolution procedures (10). If all investigations covered by an IND application remain on clinical hold for 1 y or longer, the IND application may be considered by the FDA for inactive status (21 CFR §312.42).

Active INDs

Once an IND application is authorized (active), a drug manufacturer may legally ship or provide the investigational drug to the investigators named in the application. An investigator may not administer an investigational drug to human subjects until the IND application goes into effect and the protocol and informed consent form have been approved by the IRB of record.

IND Management

Sponsors of active INDs are required to provide oversight to ensure adequate protection of the rights, welfare, and safety of human subjects and the quality of the clinical trial data submitted to the FDA. To meet these requirements, sponsors are responsible for monitoring the trial and sending periodic updates, any necessary amendments, and reports related to their applications to the FDA (6).

A sponsor may transfer responsibility for any or all obligations to a contract research organization. Any such transfer must be described in writing. If not all obligations are transferred, each of the obligations being assumed by the contract research organization must be described. If all obligations are transferred, a general statement that all obligations have been transferred is acceptable. Any obligation not covered by the written description is deemed not to have been transferred.

Although the sponsor's responsibilities for a research IND versus a commercial IND do not differ, the monitoring plan may vary significantly because of the intent and complexity of the IND. The monitoring plan should focus on preventing or mitigating important and likely risks to critical data and processes. Regulations are not specific about how sponsors are to conduct such monitoring and are therefore compatible with the complexities of the IND and allow for a range of approaches to monitoring that will vary depending on multiple factors.

Monitoring. Although the methods of monitoring may differ, either an on-site or a centralized approach may be used.

On-site monitoring is an in-person evaluation performed by sponsor personnel or representatives at the sites at which the

clinical investigation is being conducted. On-site monitoring can identify data entry errors (e.g., discrepancies between source records and case report forms) and missing data in source records or case report forms, provide assurance that study documentation exists, assess the familiarity of the site's study staff with the protocol and required procedures, and assess compliance with the protocol and investigational product accountability. On-site monitoring can also provide a sense of the quality of the overall conduct of the trial at a site (e.g., attention to detail, thoroughness of study documentation, appropriate delegation of study tasks, and appropriate clinical investigator supervision of site staff performing critical study functions). On-site monitoring can therefore be particularly helpful early in a study, especially if the protocol is complex and includes novel procedures with which clinical investigators may be unfamiliar. Findings at the site may lead to training efforts at both the site visited and elsewhere. The on-site monitoring approach is used typically for research INDs but can also be used for commercial INDs.

Centralized monitoring is a remote evaluation performed by sponsor personnel or representatives (e.g., clinical monitors, data management personnel, or statisticians) at a location other than the sites at which the clinical investigation is being conducted. Centralized monitoring processes can provide many of the capabilities of on-site monitoring as well as additional capabilities.

One notable type of monitoring is the site initiation or site initiation visit. The site initiation visit is a critical study activity occurring before study recruitment and often involves sponsor personnel from a range of disciplines, including monitors. Key components of site initiation include ensuring that the clinical investigator and site staff understand their responsibilities: applicable regulatory requirements, study processes and procedures, and the sponsor's processes for monitoring the investigation (11).

IND Amendments. For any given IND application, the FDA may receive either a protocol amendment or an information amendment.

Regarding protocol amendments, once an IND application is in effect, the sponsor may amend the application as needed to ensure that the clinical investigations are conducted according to protocols included in the IND application. Sponsors are expected to submit protocol amendments for new protocols or changes to existing protocols before implementation of the respective changes. However, protocol changes intended to eliminate an apparent immediate hazard to human subjects may be implemented immediately, provided that the FDA is subsequently notified by protocol amendment and that the reviewing IRB is also notified. New studies may begin when the sponsor has submitted the change to the FDA for its review and the new protocol or changes to the existing protocol have been approved by the IRB with the responsibility for review and approval of the studies. The general types of protocol amendments are a new protocol, a change in protocol, or a new investigator.

An information amendment is any amendment to an IND application with information essential to the investigational product that is not within the scope of protocol amendments, safety reports, or annual reports. For example, information amendments to IND applications may include new toxicology, chemistry, or other technical information or a report regarding discontinuance of a clinical or nonclinical investigation.

IND Reports. At a minimum, IND safety reports and IND annual reports are required.

Regarding IND safety reports, the IND application sponsors or applicants are required to notify the FDA in a written safety report of any adverse experience associated with the drug that is both serious and unexpected or any findings from tests in laboratory animals that suggest a significant risk for human subjects, including reports of mutagenicity, teratogenicity, and carcinogenicity (12).

Regarding IND annual reports, the IND sponsors or applicants are expected to submit brief reports of the progress of the investigations conducted under their respective IND application within 60 d of the anniversary of the date that the application went into effect. An IND annual report is expected to include individual study information (there may be several protocols or studies submitted under one IND; information must be provided for each study), summary information for each protocol or study, an update to the general investigational plan for each protocol or study, an update to the investigator's brochure if applicable, significant protocol updates for each protocol or study, an update on foreign marketing developments, and a log of outstanding business (13).

RDRC

Under 21 CFR §361.1, human research using a radioactive drug or biologic product may be conducted under an RDRC and without an IND when that research is basic science research and is not intended for immediate therapeutic, diagnostic, or similar purposes, or to determine the safety and effectiveness of the radioactive drug or biologic product for such purposes (i.e., the research cannot constitute a clinical trial for the product).

Each RDRC must obtain FDA approval before it may approve research studies. Approval of an RDRC will remain in effect unless and until the FDA withdraws such approval. Approval of an RDRC may be withdrawn at any time for failure of the RDRC to comply with the requirements.

The regulations list 3 additional requirements for human subject research that may be conducted under an RDRC.

The first requirement is that the research must be approved by an RDRC that is approved by FDA on the basis of qualified study investigators, a properly licensed medical facility to possess and handle radioactive materials, appropriate selection and consent of research subjects, appropriate quality assurance of the radioactive drug administered, a sound research protocol design, reporting of adverse events by the investigator to the RDRC, and approval by an appropriate IRB.

The second requirement is that the pharmacologic dose of the radioactive drug to be administered must be known not to cause any clinically detectable pharmacologic effect in humans. Investigators must provide pharmacologic dose calculations based on clinical data in the published literature or from other valid human studies to show that the radioactive drug has no clinically detectable pharmacologic effect. This requirement means that RDRC protocols cannot include the use of drugs that have no documented previous human experience.

The third requirement is that the total amount of radiation to be administered as part of the study must be the smallest radiation dose practical to perform the study without jeopardizing the benefits of the study and must be within specified limits. Investigators must provide absorbed radiation dose calculations based on biologic distribution data from published literature or from other valid studies; provide an acceptable method of radioassay of the radioactive drug before its use to ensure that the radioactivity calculations actually reflect the administered activity; provide information demonstrating that the radioactive drug chosen for the study has the half-life, types of radiation emitted, radiation energy, metabolism, and chemical properties that result in the lowest dose to the whole body or specific organs with which it is possible to obtain necessary information; and identify adequate and appropriate instruments for the detection and measurement of the specific radioactive drug (14).

Human research under an RDRC must be considered basic science research and be done for the purpose of advancing scientific knowledge. As described in 21 CFR §361.1(a), this type of research differs from a clinical trial to determine safety and efficacy under an IND in several ways. It is intended to obtain basic information on metabolism (including kinetics, distribution, dosimetry, and localization) of a radioactive drug or human physiology, pathophysiology, or biochemistry. It is not intended for immediate therapeutic, diagnostic, or similar purposes to the study subject. It is not intended to determine the safety and effectiveness of a radioactive drug in humans as a therapeutic, diagnostic, or similar type of medical product.

Types of Studies Appropriate for RDRC Approval

The following are examples of types of basic science research that would be appropriate to conduct under an RDRC without an IND.

Metabolism and Excretion Studies. Metabolism and excretion studies usually use nonimaging radionuclides. After administration of the radioactive drug, samples can be obtained at various times from blood, urine, feces, accessible fluid or tissues, and expired gas. Samples can be analyzed to determine the amount, structure, and persistence of the parent molecule and various metabolites formed. Separate studies of metabolism or excretion can be conducted. A combined study is commonly known as a mass balance study. ¹⁴C and ³H are most commonly used for these studies, but other radionuclides can also be used, including γ -emitting radionuclides that can be imaged.

Noninvasive Functional Imaging or Molecular Imaging Studies. For most other types of research studies, the radioactive drug is usually selected for its imaging properties (i.e., PET, SPECT, or γ -scintigraphy). The terms *noninvasive functional imaging* and *molecular imaging* are widely used to describe this category of studies, which include the types of studies described in the following paragraphs.

Biodistribution studies investigate the time course for delivery, uptake, and retention of a radioactive drug at various tissue sites in the body. The goal is to determine whether there are any sites in the body at which the radioactive drug is excluded or at which the radioactive drug preferentially accumulates. An understanding of the variation of these processes within the population is often the main objective.

Pathophysiology studies determine whether the presence or absence of pathophysiologic conditions (e.g., preferential uptake or exclusion by tumors compared with adjacent tissues) influences the distribution and persistence of the radioactive drug.

Receptor binding or occupancy studies characterize the kinetics between the radioactive drug and receptors or other binding sites throughout the body and characterize the radioactive drug binding affinity to these receptors. The primary objective is to determine whether localization is specific or nonspecific. In some cases, the observed variation within the population or among populations is a major endpoint. In other studies, the goal may be to develop hypotheses related to disease states, receptor polymorphisms, or therapeutic interventions.

Transport process studies evaluate transport proteins, many of which regulate the extracellular and intracellular distribution of ions and other endogenous compounds in the body, as well as exogenous molecules, such as drugs. Radioactive drugs can be used to determine the relative abundance and specificity of such transporters in various tissues.

Enzyme activity studies use radioactive drugs as molecular probes to determine rates of synthesis or degradation of signaling molecules through enzymes, which help to control the concentrations of critical signaling molecules.

Multistep biochemical process studies evaluate the many biochemical and molecular processes that represent the net effect of a complex array of serial and parallel pathways (14).

What Information Must Be Submitted to the RDRC for Review and Approval

Investigators should provide sufficient information to the RDRC so they can determine whether a study meets the conditions of 21 CFR §361.1(b) and does not need an IND. The RDRC should be provided with information on the following topics:

Radiation Dose to Subjects. Limits are provided under 21 CFR §361.1(b) (3)(i).

Pharmacologic Dose. Investigators must provide pharmacologic dose calculations based on clinical data in the

published literature or from other valid human studies to show that the radioactive drug has no clinically detectable pharmacologic effect.

Consent. Each investigator must select appropriate human subjects and obtain the review and approval of an IRB that conforms to the requirements of 21 CFR §56.

Number of Subjects. The number of research subjects enrolled in a protocol under an RDRC can vary. Many studies under an RDRC start with 30 research subjects or fewer. At the time a research proposal is approved by an RDRC, to allow the exposure of more than 30 subjects the RDRC must submit a special summary of information immediately, but in no later than 7 calendar days, to the FDA.

Women of Childbearing Potential. In 21 CFR §361.1(d) (5), it is required that a woman of childbearing potential state in writing that she is not pregnant or that, on the basis of a pregnancy test, she be confirmed as not pregnant, before she may participate in a study under an RDRC.

Pediatric Subjects. Although studies involving pediatric subjects are permissible in special circumstances under §361.1, few pediatric studies have been conducted in recent years under the RDRC mechanism. Section 361.1(d) (5) requires that for studies under an RDRC, subjects be at least 18 y of age and legally competent. Exceptions to this rule are permitted only when it can be demonstrated to the RDRC that the study represents a unique opportunity to gain information not currently available or that the study requires the use of research subjects less than 18 y of age and is without significant risk to the subject. When reviewing proposed pediatric studies under an RDRC, the IRB must approve only those studies that meet the criteria in, and satisfy all other requirements of, 21 CFR 50, subpart D.

Quality of Radioactive Drug. All radioactive drugs (PET and non-PET drugs) produced under an RDRC are required to meet appropriate sterility, endotoxin, chemical, pharmaceutical, radiochemical, and radionuclidic standards of identity, strength, quality, and purity as needed for safety and be of such uniform and reproducible quality as to give significance to the research study conducted. To ensure product quality, non-PET radioactive drugs studied under an RDRC must comply with the current good-manufacturing-practice regulations in 21 CFR 210 and 211. PET radioactive drugs must be produced in accordance with the standards under USP Chapter <823>, "Radiopharmaceuticals for PET: Compounding."

Research Protocol. The investigator must provide sufficient information for the RDRC to conclude that scientific knowledge and benefit are likely to result from the study.

Adverse Reactions. The investigator must, within no more than 7 calendar days, report to the RDRC all adverse effects associated with the radioactive drug in the research study. The RDRC must, within no more than 7 calendar days, report to the FDA all adverse reactions probably attributable to the use of the radioactive drug in the research study.

Approval by an IRB. The investigator must obtain IRB approval of the study protocol. Once obtained, proof of IRB

approval must be provided to the RDRC. IRBs are required to conduct continuing review of research at intervals appropriate to the degree of risk, but not less than once a year.

Labeling. The packaging, label, and labeling of the radioactive drug must be compliant with federal, state, and local laws on radioactive materials (14).

CONCLUSION

Although clinical research using radiopharmaceuticals may seem daunting to newcomers, one need not be overwhelmed. As this article has shown, a basic knowledge of applicable clinical research regulations, terms, and processes can equip the reader with the necessary resources to ensure safe and effective outcomes.

DISCLOSURE

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We thank SNMMI-TS Fellow LisaAnn Trembath, MSM, NMTCB, from Avid Radiopharmaceuticals for comments on the manuscript.

REFERENCES

1. E6(R2): good clinical practice. International Council for Harmonisation website. <https://www.ich.org/page/efficacy-guidelines>. Accessed January 27, 2022.
2. Current good manufacturing practice (CGMP) regulations. Food and Drug Administration website. <https://www.fda.gov/drugs/pharmaceutical-quality-resources/current-good-manufacturing-practice-cgmp-regulations>. Updated September 21, 2020. Accessed January 27, 2022.
3. Development and approval process: drugs. Food and Drug Administration website. <https://www.fda.gov/drugs/development-approval-process-drugs>. Updated October 18, 2019. Accessed January 27, 2022.
4. Investigator-initiated multi-site clinical trials: frequently asked questions. National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute website. <https://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/grants-and-training/funding-opportunities/foa-Investigator-Initiated-Multi-Site-Clinical-Trials-FAQ#>. Accessed January 27, 2022.
5. Guidance for clinical investigators, sponsors, and IRBs: investigational new drug applications (INDs)—determining whether human research studies can be conducted without an IND. Food and Drug Administration website. <https://www.fda.gov/media/79386/download>. Published September 2013. Accessed January 27, 2022.
6. Investigational new drug (IND) application. Food and Drug Administration website. <https://www.fda.gov/drugs/types-applications/investigational-new-drug-ind-application>. Updated February 24, 2021. Accessed January 27, 2022.
7. Expanded access to investigational drugs for treatment use: questions and answers—guidance for industry. Food and Drug Administration website. <https://www.fda.gov/media/85675/download>. Published June 2016. Updated October 2017. Accessed January 27, 2022.
8. Guidance for industry, investigators, and reviewers: exploratory IND studies. Food and Drug Administration website. <https://www.fda.gov/media/72325/download>. Published January 2006. Accessed January 27, 2022.
9. Guidance for industry: formal meetings between the FDA and sponsors or applicants. Food and Drug Administration website. <https://www.fda.gov/media/72253/download>. Published May 2009. Accessed January 27, 2022.
10. Guidance for industry: submitting and reviewing complete responses to clinical holds. Food and Drug Administration website. <https://www.fda.gov/media/72548/download>. Published October 2000. Accessed January 27, 2022.
11. Guidance for industry: oversight of clinical investigations—a risk-based approach to monitoring. Food and Drug Administration website. <https://www.fda.gov/media/116754/download>. Published August 2013. Accessed January 27, 2022.
12. IND application reporting: safety reports. Food and Drug Administration website. <https://www.fda.gov/drugs/investigational-new-drug-ind-application/ind-application-reporting-safety-reports>. Updated October 19, 2021. Accessed January 27, 2022.
13. IND application reporting: annual reports. Food and Drug Administration website. <https://www.fda.gov/drugs/investigational-new-drug-ind-application/ind-application-reporting-annual-reports>. Updated December 23, 2015. Accessed January 27, 2022.
14. Guidance for industry and researchers: the radioactive drug research committee—human research without an investigational new drug application. Food and Drug Administration website. <https://www.fda.gov/media/80097/download>. Published August 2010. Accessed January 27, 2022.