

5. Chen K, Reiman E, Lawson M, Dagan F, Sung-Cheng H. Decay correction methods in dynamic PET studies. *IEEE Trans Nucl Sci.* 1995;42:2173–2179.
6. Yoshida K, Mullani N, Gould KL. Coronary flow and flow reserve by PET simplified for clinical applications using rubidium-82 or nitrogen-13-ammonia. *J Nucl Med.* 1996;37:1701–1712.
7. Lortie M, Beanlands RS, Yoshinaga K, Klein R, Dasilva JN, DeKemp RA. Quantification of myocardial blood flow with  $^{82}\text{Rb}$  dynamic PET imaging. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging.* 2007;34:1765–1774.
8. Vasquez AF, Johnson NP, Gould KL. Variation in quantitative myocardial perfusion due to arterial input selection. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging.* 2013;6:559–568.
9. Fitzgerald R. Corrections for the combined effects of decay and dead time in live-timed counting of short-lived radionuclides. *Appl Radiat Isot.* 2016;109:335–340.
10. Dawood M, Jiang X, Schäfers K. *Correction Techniques in Emission Tomography.* CRC Press; 2012:69–70.

## Erratum

In the article “Accuracy Assessment of SUV Measurements in SPECT/CT: A Phantom Study,” by Fatin Halim et al. (*J Nucl Med Technol.* 2021;49:250–255), the fundamental grant scheme number (203/CIPPT/6711730) was incorrectly stated in the Disclosure. The correct fundamental grant scheme number should be *FRGS/1/2019/SKK08/USM/03/4*. The authors regret the error.