

the capabilities of the military in this context are not discussed here.

CONCLUSION

The complex nature of today's disasters, particularly regarding weapons of mass destruction, have increased the need for a multidisciplinary medical response. Nuclear and radiological disasters have enormous medical and public health consequences. Medical responders in Pakistan are not appropriately trained for such events and are therefore not confident about handling disasters of such a high magnitude, despite a high level of motivation and dedication. The Pakistan military, being the most disciplined organization in the country, responds first in all kinds of disasters. But the major responsibility should be borne by the different response agencies, which seem to be more inclined toward handling recurring disasters. The level of knowledge and the handling capability of these agencies against nuclear disaster should be enhanced to enable an effective response. For this purpose, as a start, the medical first responders may be encouraged and incentivized to complete World Health Organization online courses. Moreover, national health authorities may seek policy advice and technical support from the World Health Organization on preparedness, response, recovery, and long-term follow-up of populations.

DISCLOSURE

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

REFERENCES

1. Ritchie N. The story so far: the humanitarian initiative on the impacts of nuclear weapons. United Nations Institute for Disarmament Research website. <https://unidir.org/sites/default/files/publication/pdfs/the-story-so-far-en-616.pdf>. Published 2014. Accessed January 7, 2021.
2. Selden KI, Selden M. *The Atomic Bomb: Voices from Hiroshima and Nagasaki: Voices from Hiroshima and Nagasaki*. Routledge; 2015.
3. Christodouleas JP, Forrest RD, Ainsley CG, Tochner Z, Hahn SM, Glatstein E. Short-term and long-term health risks of nuclear-power-plant accidents. *N Engl J Med*. 2011;364:2334–2341.
4. Crutzen PJ, Galbally IE, Brühl C. Atmospheric effects from post-nuclear fires. *Clim Change*. 1984;6:323–364.
5. Hasegawa A, Tanigawa K, Ohtsuru A, et al. Health effects of radiation and other health problems in the aftermath of nuclear accidents, with an emphasis on Fukushima. *Lancet*. 2015;386:479–488.
6. Hick JL, Weinstock DM, Coleman CN, et al. Health care system planning for and response to a nuclear detonation. *Disaster Med Public Health Prep*. 2011; 5(suppl):S73–S88.
7. Bushberg JT, Kroger LA, Hartman MB, et al. Nuclear/radiological terrorism: emergency department management of radiation casualties. *J Emerg Med*. 2007; 32:71–85.
8. Van Dyke ME, McCormick LC, Bolus NE, Pevear J, Kazzi ZN. Radiological emergency preparedness: a survey of nuclear medicine technologists in the United States. *J Nucl Med Technol*. 2013;41:223–230.
9. Raghavan V. Limited war and nuclear escalation in South Asia. *Nonprofil Rev*. 2001;8:82–98.
10. Winner AC, Yoshihara T. India and Pakistan at the edge. *Survival (Lond)*. 2002;44:69–86.
11. Borrie J, Caughley T. An illusion of safety: challenges of nuclear weapon detonations for United Nations humanitarian coordination and response. United Nations Institute for Disarmament Research website. <https://unidir.org/sites/default/files/publication/pdfs/an-illusion-of-safety-en-611.pdf>. Published 2014. Accessed January 7, 2021.
12. Falkenrath RA. Confronting nuclear, biological and chemical terrorism. *Survival*. 1998;40:43–65.
13. Kenar L, Karayılanoğlu T. A Turkish medical rescue team against nuclear, biological, and chemical weapons. *Mil Med*. 2004;169:94–96.
14. Gale RP, Baranov A. If the unlikely becomes likely: medical response to nuclear accidents. *Bull At Sci*. 2011;67:10–18.
15. Davari F, Zahed A. A management plan for hospitals and medical centers facing radiation incidents. *J Res Med Sci*. 2015;20:871–878.
16. Dallas CE, Klein KR, Lehman T, Kodama T, Harris CA, Swienton RE. Readiness for radiological and nuclear events among emergency medical personnel. *Front Public Health*. 2017;5:202.
17. Madiwale A, Virk K. Civil–military relations in natural disasters: a case study of the 2010 Pakistan floods. *Int Rev Red Cross*. 2011;93:1085–1105.
18. Thompson WC. Success in Kashmir: a positive trend in civil–military integration during humanitarian assistance operations. *Disasters*. 2010;34:1–15.

Erratum

There were two errors in the article “Breast Cancer: Evaluating Tumor Estrogen Receptor Status with Molecular Imaging to Increase Response to Therapy and Patient Outcomes,” by Grabher (*J Nucl Med Technol*. 2020;48:191–201). The article incorrectly stated that ¹⁸F-fluoroestradiol was not an FDA-approved product. In fact, the product was approved before publication of the article, which was not corrected to reflect that change. There was also an error in the description of a Nottingham grade 3 tumor. A grade 3 tumor is considered poorly differentiated, as correctly stated in Table 3, not well-differentiated, as incorrectly stated in the text. The author regrets the errors.