TABLE 3 κ-Statistics for Interobserver Variability of DMSA Scintigraphy

	к-value		Standard error		Probability of agreement	
Views	First reading	Second reading	First reading	Second reading	First reading	Second reading
Posterior	0.609	0.671	0.047	0.044	76.6	80.7
Posterior and oblique	0.768	0.732	0.043	0.043	87.8	85.2

that the lesions were more confidently diagnosed on oblique images.

REFERENCES

- Gordon I. Indications for technetium-99m dimercaptosuccinic acid scan in children. J Urol. 1987;137:464–467.
- Gleeson FV, Gordon I. Imaging in urinary tract infection. Arch Dis Child. 1991;66:1282–1283.
- Goldraich NP, Goldraich IH. Update on dimercaptosuccinic acid renal scanning in children with urinary tract infection. *Pediatr Nephrol.* 1995;9:221–226.
- Rushton HG. The evaluation of acute pyelonephritis and renal scarring with technetium 99m-dimercaptosuccinic acid renal scintigraphy: evolving concepts and future directions. *Pediatr Nephrol.* 1997;11:108–120.
- Hansson S, Dhamey M, Sigström O, et al. Dimercaptosuccinic acid scintigraphy instead of voiding cystourethrography for infants with urinary tract infection. *J Urol.* 2004;172:1071–1074.
- Mannes F, Bultynck E, Van Roijen N, Van Der Mauten L, Piepsz A, Ham H. Utility of posterior oblique views in Tc-99m DMSA renal scintigraphy. J Nucl Med Technol. 2003;31:72–73.
- Cohen JA. A coefficient of agreement for nominal scales. *Educ Psychol Meas*. 1960;20:37–46.
- Brennan P, Silman A. Statistical methods for assessing observer variability in clinical measures. *BMJ*. 1992;304:1491–1494.

- Piepsz A, Clarke SEM, Mackenzie R, Gordon I. A study on the interobserver variability in reporting on Tc-99m DMSA scintigraphy [abstract]. *Eur J Nucl Med.* 1993;20:194.
- Patel K, Charron M, Hoberman A, Brown ML, Rogers KD. Intra- and interobserver variability in interpretation of DMSA scan using a set of standardized criteria. *Pediatr Radiol.* 1993;23:506–509.
- Everaert H, Flamen P, Franken PR, Peeters P, Bossuyt A, Piepsz A. 99Tcm-DMSA renal scintigraphy for acute pyelonephritis in adults: planar and/or SPET imaging? *Nucl Med Commun.* 1996;17:884–889.
- Gacinovic S, Buscombe J, Costa DC, Hilson A, Bomanji J. Ell PJ. Interobserver agreement in the interpretation of Tc-99m DMSA renal studies. *Nucl Med Commun.* 1996;17:596–602.
- De Sadeleer C, Tondeur M, Melis K, et al. A multicenter trial on interobserver reproducibility in reporting on Tc-99m DMSA planar scintigraphy: a Belgian survey. J Nucl Med. 2000;41:23–26.
- Tarkington MA, Fildes RD, Levin K, Zeissman H, Harkness B, Gibbons MD. High resolution single photon emission computerized tomography (SPECT) 99m technetium-dimercapto-succinic acid renal imaging: a state of the art technique. *J Urol.* 1990;144:598–600.
- Rossleigh M. Renal cortical scintigraphy and diuresis renography in infants and children. J Nucl Med. 2001;42:91–95.
- Applegate K, Connolly L, Davis R, Zurakowski D, Treves ST. A prospective comparison of high-resolution planar, pinhole, and triple-detector SPECT for the detection of renal cortical defects. *Clin Nucl Med.* 1997;22: 673–678.

Erratum

In the article "Nuclear Medicine Technologists in the U.S.: Findings from a 2005 Survey" prepared by the Center for Health Workforce Studies (the Center) (*JNMT*. 2006;34:244–249), the data on states with the highest and lowest numbers of nuclear medicine technologists per 1,000,000 in population were incorrect. The sentence reporting the range should read, "At the high end of the spectrum were South Dakota (160), West Virginia (143), and Delaware (135), and at the low end were the District of Columbia (23), Kansas (36), and Idaho (38)." Nebraska was not near the top of the range, and Oklahoma and Nevada were not near the bottom. Oklahoma had 62 nuclear medicine technologists per million, and Nebraska had 78 per million. Nevada had a total of 180 nuclear medicine technologists at the time of the survey (77 per million). The Center regrets the errors.