Measurement of Blood Radioactivity for Quantification of Cerebral Blood Flow Using a Gamma Camera
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Objective: This study was designed to determine whether gamma cameras can be substituted for well-type scintillation counters in measuring blood radioactivity counts to be used as an input function for the quantitative measurement of cerebral blood flow (CBF).

Methods: Twelve different aqueous $^{123}$I solutions were prepared by serial dilution of the original concentration of 281.9 kBq/ml, and the radioactivity count of each dilution was measured with a gamma camera with the collimator removed, and with a well-type scintillation counter. When measuring the radioactivity counts with a gamma camera, static images were acquired using a 128 x 128 matrix for 5 min, and the regions of interest with 14 x 14 pixels (21 mm x 21 mm) were defined. No good correlation was obtained between the results obtained by these two procedures in the range of concentration between 0.008 kBq/ml and 281.9 kBq/ml ($y = 4.245x - 2.549$, $r = 1.0$, $n = 12$, s.e.e. = 7.217 kcpm). There was good correlation between the CBF values (ml/100 g/min) obtained using the cross-calibration factor (CCF) and blood radioactivity counts measured with the two procedures ($y = 0.990x + 0.552$, $r = 0.990$, $n = 231$, s.e.e. = 1.340 ml/100 g/min).

Conclusion: The results suggest that gamma cameras can be substituted for well-type scintillation counters in the quantitative measurement of CBF, and make it unnecessary to measure CCF after routine calibration of a SPECT apparatus.
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SPECT with N-isopropyl-p $^{123}$Ijodoamphetamine ($^{123}$I-IMP) is widely used to quantitatively measure cerebral blood flow (CBF) (1-5). A variety of methods are used to make such measurements, including blood sampling methods such as the continuous arterial blood sampling method (1,2), the one-point arterial blood sampling method (3,4) and the one-point venous blood sampling method (5). When blood sampling methods are used, it is necessary to measure the radioactivity of the sampled blood with a well-type scintillation counter (well counter) (1-5). However, well counters are not available in all hospitals. Furthermore, because the measurement system of the well counter is different from that of the SPECT apparatus, a cross-calibration factor (CCF) must be determined to calibrate these two different systems (1-5), and the CCF must be newly determined whenever a SPECT apparatus and/or well counter is calibrated. These factors appear to prevent widespread acceptance of quantitative measurement of CBF using SPECT. This study was designed to determine whether a gamma camera can be used instead of a well counter for measuring radioactivity in samples, such as blood.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A well counter (TGC-1H1, Sangyo Kagaku Co., Tokyo, Japan) and a gamma camera (STARCAM 4000 XR/T, GE-Yokogawa Medical Systems, Tokyo, Japan) were used in this study. A sampling vial (SB-41, Sysmex Co., Tokyo, Japan) having an internal diameter of 22.5 mm and outer diameter of 23.5 mm was used as a container for measuring samples with a gamma camera (Fig. 1). After the collimator was removed, the surface of the gamma camera was covered with a sheet of vinyl to prevent contamination and the sampling container was placed on the gamma camera surface (Fig. 1). To protect a crystal of the gamma camera, materials such as lead were not used. Static images were acquired for 5 min at a 2.67-fold magnification on a 128 x 128 matrix with the gamma camera. The regions of interest (ROIs) with 14 x 14 pixels (21 mm x 21 mm) were drawn on the static images to calculate the total radioactivity counts. Immediately after the samples were measured with the gamma camera, they also were measured for 1 min with the well counter.

The following items were assessed to determine whether gamma cameras can be substituted for well counters.
Investigation 1

Relationship Between the Radioactivities Measured with a Gamma Camera and Those Measured with a Well Counter. To investigate the relationship between the radioactivity counts measured with a gamma camera and those measured with a well counter, 12 different aqueous $^{123}$I solutions were prepared by serial dilution of the original 281.9 kBq/ml concentration: 281.9, 93.72, 55.20, 18.70, 5.261, 1.870, 0.511, 0.354, 0.113, 0.032, 0.010 and 0.008 kBq/ml. The radioactivity counts were measured using a gamma camera with the collimator removed and a well counter, as mentioned above.

Investigation 2

Determination of CCF with a Well Counter and Gamma Camera. CCF was calculated from:

$$CCF = \frac{\text{SPECT value (counts/voxel)}}{\text{Counts measured with a well counter or gamma camera (cpm/ml)}}$$

Eq. 1

To acquire the SPECT data, the CCF phantom (6) (Fig. 2) was filled with aqueous $^{123}$I solutions of 23.33, 7.88, 5.48 and 5.09 kBq/ml and was placed in the gantry. Each projection image was acquired in 12 sec using a 64 × 64 matrix at a 2.67-fold magnification and repeated 32 times during a 360° rotation (7). This rotation was repeated twice clockwise and counterclockwise. In this case, the gamma camera was equipped with a low-energy general-purpose (LEGP) collimator. Reconstruction of images was performed using the filtered backprojection method with a ramp backprojection filter and a Butterworth filter (order = 8, cutoff frequency = 0.39 cycles/cm). Attenuation correction was performed using Sorenson's method ($\mu = 0.067$ cm$^{-1}$).

Immediately after the SPECT data acquisition was finished, a 1-ml sample of aqueous $^{123}$I solution was taken from the CCF phantom, and it was measured with the gamma camera and well counter using the same protocol as mentioned before.

Investigation 3

Measurement of CBF Using Blood Radioactivities and CCF Measured with a Well Counter and Gamma Camera. CBF was measured in 21 patients with cerebrovascular disorders using the modified early (ME) method (7) with continuous arterial blood sampling. Informed consent was obtained from each of the patients after a detailed explanation of the purpose of this study and the scanning procedures. The ME method (7) is a modified version of the early method developed by Matsuda et al. (2), which is based on a microsphere model (1). With the ME method (7), dynamic frontal brain images were first acquired after a bolus intravenous injection of 104–211 MBq $^{123}$I-IMP using the gamma camera equipped with a low-energy general-purpose (LEGP) collimator. The imaging protocol consisted of 28 frames in a 64 × 64 matrix, each 15 sec in duration, for a total acquisition time of 7 min. At the same time, continuous arterial blood sampling from an indwelling catheter needle inserted in the brachial artery was performed for 5 min at a speed of 1.88 ml/min by using a universal infusion system (Truth A-II, Nakagawa Seikoudo Co., Tokyo, Japan). After that, the SPECT data were acquired using the same protocol as mentioned before.

CBF was calculated using the following equation:

$$F = \frac{100 \times R \times C_s}{N \times A \times G \times CCF}$$

Eq. 2

where F is the CBF in ml/100 g/min, R is the constant withdrawal rate of arterial blood in ml/min, $C_s$ is the brain radioactivity in counts/voxel, A is the total radioactivity in the arterial blood withdrawn for 5 min in cpm, N is the fraction of A that is the true tracer radioactivity obtained by octanol extraction, and G is the specific gravity of brain tissue and is assumed to be 1.04 g/ml in this study. In determining $C_s$ at 5 min postinjection, the SPECT reconstructed counts acquired from
7 min to 25 min were corrected to represent those at 5 min using the time-activity curve for the whole brain obtained during 7 min after injection.

The octanol-extracted radioactivity of the arterial blood sample (N × A in Equation 2) was measured with a well counter to calculate CBF. Then, the octanol-extracted radioactivity of the arterial blood sample, which had been placed in the sampling vial, was measured with a gamma camera after the collimator had been removed, to calculate CBF. The results were time-corrected by adjusting to the time required for the measurement of CBF with the well counter. Irregular ROIs were defined in the cerebellum, frontal lobe, bilateral temporal lobe and occipital lobe, and CBF values were quantitatively measured in these ROIs using Equation 2.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cross-calibration factor (CCF)</th>
<th>Before</th>
<th>After</th>
<th>% Difference*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Well counter</td>
<td>1.692 × 10^-4</td>
<td>1.366 × 10^-4</td>
<td>19.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gamma camera</td>
<td>8.549 × 10^-5</td>
<td>8.765 × 10^-5</td>
<td>2.53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* % Difference = \( \frac{\text{After} - \text{Before}}{\text{Before}} \) × 100

#### Statistical Analysis

The correlation between the values obtained with a well counter and those obtained with a gamma camera was assessed.
FIGURE 5. Example of cerebral blood flow images. The images in the upper two rows were obtained using the cross-calibration factor (CCF) and blood radioactivity counts measured with a well-type scintillation counter, and those in the lower two rows were obtained using the CCF and blood radioactivity counts measured with a gamma camera.

by linear regression analysis with calculation of the standard error of the estimate (s.e.e.).

RESULTS

Investigation 1

Relationship Between the Radioactivities Measured with a Gamma Camera and Those Measured with a Well Counter. Figure 3A shows the correlation between the radioactivity counts measured using a gamma camera with the collimator removed (y, kcpm) and those measured with a well counter (x, kBq/ml). There was a good correlation between them in the range of concentration between 0.008 kBq/ml and 281.9 kBq/ml (y = 4.245x - 2.549, r = 1.0, n = 12, s.e.e. = 7.217 kcpm). Figure 3B shows the correlation between the results obtained by the two procedures for the range between 0.008 kBq/ml and 5.261 kBq/ml. There was a good correlation between the two procedures (y = 3.812x + 0.214, r = 1.0, n = 8, s.e.e. = 0.062 kcpm) also in this range. Note that the radioactivity counts measured with a well counter were converted into kBq/ml using a curie meter (IGC-30, Aloka Co., Tokyo, Japan).

Investigation 2

Determination of CCF with a Well Counter and Gamma Camera. Table 1 summarizes the CCF values before and after routine calibration of a SPECT apparatus. Although the CCF value differed by 19.27% after calibration when using a well counter, the difference in CCF before and after calibration was only 2.53% when using a gamma camera.

Investigation 3

Measurement of CBF Using Blood Radioactivities and CCF Measured with a Well Counter and Gamma Camera. As shown in Figure 4, there was good agreement between the CBF values (ml/100 g/min) obtained using the CCF values and blood radioactivity counts measured with the two procedures (y = 0.990x + 0.552, r = 0.990, n = 231, s.e.e. = 1.340 ml/100 g/min). Figure 5 shows an example of CBF images generated using the ME method (7). The upper two rows show the CBF images generated using the data obtained with a well counter, while the lower two rows show the CBF images generated using the data obtained with a gamma camera. Both procedures yielded almost identical images.
DISCUSSION

In this study we investigated the feasibility of using a gamma camera for measuring blood radioactivity. In our clinical setting for CBF quantification using $^{123}$I-IMP, patients are injected intravenously with approximately 90 MBq to 263 MBq $^{123}$I-IMP. For these injection doses, the radioactivity in the arterial blood withdrawn for 5 min after injection ranges from approximately 0.05 kBq/ml to 9 kBq/ml when measured with a well counter. If the relationship between the radioactivity counts measured with a well counter and those measured with a gamma camera is linear in this range of concentration, it appears that a gamma camera can be substituted for a well counter in the measurement of blood radioactivity for CBF quantification.

When a gamma camera equipped with a collimator was used, it was difficult to measure the radioactivity counts at a concentration of less than 5.261 kBq/ml because of large photon attenuation by the collimator (data not shown). Thus, it appears to be difficult to determine blood radioactivity counts to be used as an input function for measuring CBF when using a gamma camera equipped with a collimator. When the collimator was removed, the linearity between the radioactivity counts measured with a gamma camera and those measured with a well counter was confirmed for the range of concentration between 0.008 kBq/ml and 281.9 kBq/ml (Fig. 3). This range adequately covers the concentration encountered in our clinical setting. These results suggest that gamma cameras with collimators removed can be substituted for well counters for quantitative measurement of CBF using SPECT. We hope that this will facilitate more widespread acceptance of quantitative measurement of CBF using SPECT even in the hospitals having no well counters. However, the present method for measuring blood radioactivity using a gamma camera has the drawback of collimator removal being complicated, and it should be noted that careful handling of the crystal is required.

As previously described, when using a well counter for measuring blood radioactivity, CCF must be determined to calibrate the well counter and SPECT. Furthermore, this value must be newly determined whenever a SPECT apparatus and/or well counter is calibrated. However, since CCF values obtained with a gamma camera did not differ after routine calibration of a SPECT apparatus (Table 1), it appears that postcalibration measurement of CCF can be omitted when using a gamma camera.

No great differences existed between the CBF values obtained using the CCF and blood radioactivity counts measured with a gamma camera and those obtained using a well counter in a clinical setting (Figs. 4 and 5). This confirmed the feasibility of using a gamma camera for the measurement of blood radioactivity counts.

CONCLUSION

We obtained the following conclusions from this study: (a) gamma cameras can be substituted for well counters in measuring blood radioactivity counts for CBF quantification; and (b) the present method using a gamma camera makes it unnecessary to measure CCF after calibrating a SPECT apparatus.
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