# Nuclear Medicine Technology Certification Board (NMTCB) 1991 Critical Task Analysis Validation Report

Karen L. Blondeau, Shelley D. Hartnett, Martha W. Pickett, and Jacqueline A. Bridges

Nuclear Medicine Technology Certification Board (NMTCB), Atlanta, Georgia

The Nuclear Medicine Technology Certification Board (NMTCB) Critical Task Survey process was described in the December 1991 issue of the *Journal of Nuclear Medicine Technology* (1). The role of a task validation survey in the development and updating of a criterion-referenced exam is well accepted and has been used historically by the NMTCB to ensure that the nuclear medicine certification exam reflects current practice (2-5).

This report reviews the data obtained in the NMTCB 1991 Critical Task Survey. A total of 371 surveys were included in the analysis with data collation provided by American College Testing (ACT). Since the last task analysis in 1987 included 172 surveys, the increased return rate for the most recent survey provides an added confidence level to the validity of responses.

Technologists, physicians, physicists, and radiopharmacists were sampled in the survey; 87.9% of all respondents were certified by the NMTCB. Although there were no responses from seven states, each region of the country was sampled and represented relative population densities.

Of all respondents, 74% stated that they spent more than 60% of their work day in clinical nuclear medicine practice while 83.8% of all respondents practice nuclear medicine in a hospital setting; 97% of all respondents perform imaging procedures in their department and 69.8% perform nonimaging procedures; 18.1% of all respondents stated they practice radioimmunoassay (RIA) procedures in their department (down from 40% in 1987); and 73.9% of all respondents who perform RIA stated they perform 0–100 RIA procedures each month.

Included in this survey were 104 tasks rated according to three characteristics, as follows.

- 1. Frequency. How often the task is performed.
- 2. *Performance Level.* If performed, when is a technologist expected to be able to perform the task (i.e.: at entry-level, or after the first year).

3. *Criticality.* What medical or institutional consequences result if the task is not performed competently.

The raw data for each characteristic on each task were averaged and standard deviations computed. The data supported the designation of all tasks as entry-level. Then, each task had a mean rating and standard deviation computed for the characteristics of frequency and criticality. These means and standard deviations were used as the primary database for designing the new test specifications for the NMTCB examination. Using the same process as in the 1987 data analysis, each task was examined rather than adopting a general percentage cut-off value to determine the fate of a task.

As a result of this process, several points were emphasized, as follows:

- 1. The survey indicated that the use of centralized radiopharmacies has increased substantially from the last survey, but the knowledge-base still has high criticality. No radiopharmacy tasks were dropped, and all tasks are classified as "critical domain."
- 2. Based on statistical evidence, nine RIA tasks were dropped from nonimaging. Related laboratory tasks (e.g.; determine hematocrit, operate a centrifuge, prepare a standard dilution, prepare specimens for analysis, etc.) were not dropped, based on evidence that many nonimaging procedures are still widely performed in nuclear medicine by nuclear medicine technologists.
- 3. Two tasks were combined with others based on their similar concepts. No new tasks were added.
- 4. The remaining 93 tasks were reclassified into four new subgroupings based on common factor analysis. With fewer tasks on the examination, the weighting assignments (or number of exam questions from each task) have changed. The redistribution of weights was determined after compiling information from equipment and procedure data included in the task analysis. Respondents reported increased performance by entry-level technologists of computer imaging, including SPECT imaging of all organs, computer data processing, and

For reprints contact: James E. Greene Jr., PhD, Executive Director, NMTCB, 2970 Clairmont Rd., Suite 610, Atlanta, GA 30329.

nuclear cardiology. Increased weight has been assigned to tasks pertaining to these areas. The updated equipment and procedures list is now available from the NMTCB office.

As in the past, the exam will be divided into critical and associated domains. Critical or core tasks will appear at least once on every examination; associated tasks, or those less frequently performed, will be randomly sampled. Only 3.5% of the exam is now in the associated domain.

The new NMTCB test specifications will be implemented with the September 1993 examination. The exam will continue to have 225 questions; 200 scorable items and 25 nonscorable pretest items. (See Appendix).

The NMTCB directors wish to thank the members of the nuclear medicine community who supported this project. Through their participation, the mission of the NMTCB examination is realized: Certification for nuclear medicine technologists by nuclear medicine technologists.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors wish to thank Guy S. Shaw in the NMTCB office for his assistance in the preparation of this report.

#### REFERENCES

- Cizek G, Bridges J. Task analysis: foundation for a valid examination. J Nucl Med Technol 1991;12:245-246.
- Nuclear Medicine Technology Certification Board. Certification examination validation report. J Nucl Med Technol 1982;10:210-222.
- Nuclear Medicine Technology Certification Board. Critical task validation study: identification of entry-level domain. J Nucl Med Technol 1984;12: 192-200.
- Re-examination of Nuclear Medicine Technology Certification Board critical task survey: a response to changing entry-level practice. J Nucl Med Technol 1986;14:228-234.
- Blosser N, Drew H, Steves A. NMTCB task analysis validation update. J Nucl Med Technol 1988;16:216-221.

#### APPENDIX

### Summary of Entry-Level Domain on the NMTCB Exam Beginning September 1993

	Core Task (CD) Items	Associated Domain Task (AD) Items
Group I Radiation Safety	33	1
Group II Instrumentation	28	3
Group III Clinical Procedures	82	3
Group IV Radiopharmacy	50	0
TOTAL	193	7
	(96.5% of exam)	(3.5% of exam)

### NMTCB Examination Test Specifications Beginning September 1993

		Total Task Items	Selected Exam Questions
Group I	Radiation Safety		
·	Critical tasks	3	9
	(weight $=$ 3)		
	Critical tasks	11	22
	(weight = 2)	•	
	Critical tasks	2	2
	(weight = 1) Associated tasks	2	1
	(weight = $0-1$ )	2	•
	Subtotal		34
			(17% of exam)
Group II	Instrumentation		
	Critical tasks	1	4
	(weight = 4)		
	Critical tasks	2	6
	(weight $=$ 3)	-	
	Critical tasks	5	10
	(weight = 2) Critical tasks	8	8
	(weight = 1)	0	0
	Associated tasks	4	3
	(weight = $0-1$ )	-	0
	Subtotal		31
			(16% of exam)
Group III	Clinical Procedures		
	Critical tasks	2	12
	(weight = 6)		
	Critical tasks	2	10
	(weight $= 5$ )		
	Critical tasks	1	4
	(weight = 4)	7	21
	Critical tasks (weight = 3)	'	21
	Critical tasks	15	30
	(weight = 2)	.0	
	Critical tasks	5	5
	(weight = 1)		
	Associated tasks	4	3
	(weight = $0-1$ )		
	Subtotal		85
0 11/	Dedition		(42% of exam)
Group IV	Radiopharmacy Critical tasks	1	4
	Critical tasks (weight = 4)	I	4
	Critical tasks	10	30
	(weight = 3)		00
	Critical tasks	8	16
	(weight = 2)	-	
	Subtotal		50
			(25% of exam)

## NMTCB Task Analysis of Nuclear Medicine Technology (Entry-Level Practice)

Group I Radiation Safety Tasks	Domain	Weight
Post appropriate signs in designated areas to comply with NRC regulations.	CD	2
Package radioactive materials according to reg- ulations.	CD	1
Maintain accurate, written records of all radio- active material transfers to comply with NRC and agreement state regulations.	CD	2
Use personnel monitoring devices.	CD	2
Review monthly personnel exposure records with regard to maximum permissible radiation dose limits.	AD	0–1
Take appropriate measures to reduce radiation exposure when necessary.	CD	2
Notify the appropriate authority of excessive ra- diation exposure.	AD	0–1
Notify the appropriate authority of misadminis- tration when applicable.	CD	2
Use proper shielding and the inverse square law to reduce radiation exposure.	CD	3
Use proper methods for the storage of radio- pharmaceuticals that pose special hazards.	CD	2
Identify and use proper procedures for radio- pharmaceuticals.	CD	3
Instruct the patient, family, and hospital staff in radiation safety precautions after the adminis- tration of diagnostic and therapeutic radio- pharmaceuticals.	CD	2
Provide instruction on proper radiation emer- gency procedures to be followed.	CD	1
Perform wipe tests and area radiation surveys following a standardized schedule and format.	CD	3
Follow required procedures for receipt of radio- active materials.	CD	2
Appropriately dispose of radioactive material.	CD	2
Maintain a long-term storage area to allow for decay of radioactivity.	CD	2
Use proper procedures for managing a radioac- tive spill.	CD	2

Group II Instrumentation Tasks	Domain	Weight
Maintain appropriate instrumentation quality control records to comply with regulatory re- quirements.	CD	2
Calibrate a scintillation spectrometer.	CD	1
Determine the percent energy resolution of a scintillation spectrometer.	CD	1
Conduct sensitivity checks on the scintillation spectrometer or scintillation camera.	CD	1
Obtain background counts on a scintillation spectrometer.	AD	0–1
Perform a chi-square on the scintillation camera or spectrometer.	CD	1
Adjust pulse-height analyzer (PHA) on the scin- tillation spectrometer or camera for the appro- priate photopeak.	CD	2

Group II Instrumentation Tasks	Domain	Weight
Perform field uniformity check on the scintilla- tion camera on a routine basis.	CD	3
Perform detector linearity check on a scintilla- tion camera on a routine basis.	CD	1
Perform spatial resolution check on a scintilla- tion camera on a routine basis.	CD	3
Assess performance of image recording equip- ment.	CD	2
Perform reference check on survey meter.	CD	1
Ascertain the activity linearity of the dose cali- brator over the entire range of radionuclide activity to be used.	CD	2
Test accuracy of dose calibrator for commonly used radionuclides.	CD	1
Check for constancy of dose calibrator using a long-lived radionuclide standard.	CD	2
Perform basic computer operations.	CD	4
Maintain proper environmental conditions for computer and associated equipment and sup- plies.	AD	0–1
Conduct temperature checks on water baths and refrigerators.	AD	0–1
Operate centrifuge and conduct routine tach- ometer checks.	AD	0–1
Calibrate and use single-sample well counter.	CD	1
Group III Clinical Procedures Tasks	Domain	Weight
Store and maintain adequate supplies including kits to assure timely completion of patient studies.	CD	2
Maintain auxiliary equipment as stated in the equipment procedures list.	AD	0–1
Schedule patient studies, ensuring appropriate sequence for multiple procedures, and inter- act with hospital staff regarding special or- ders.	CD	3
Maintain appropriate patient procedure records.	CD	2
Receive patient and provide proper nursing care during nuclear medicine procedure.	CD	2
Maintain good communication with patient by explaining procedure, answering questions, and listening to patient's comments.	CD	2
Provide functionally safe and sanitary condi- tions for patient.	CD	2
Recognize emergency conditions and deter- mine patient's vital signs when necessary.	CD	2
	CD	2
Administer cardiopulmonary resuscitation and first aid when necessary.	00	
	CD	1
first aid when necessary. Receive patient and verify patient identification		1 3

Obtain informed consent to perform study

Prepare patient for procedure as required.

when necessary.

VOLUME 20, NUMBER 3, SEPTEMBER, 1992

2

3

CD

CD

# NMTCB Task Analysis of Nuclear Medicine Technology (Entry-Level Practice)

			1
Group III Clinical Procedures Tasks	Domain	Weight	
Transfer patient from wheelchair or stretcher to the imaging table.	CD	1	Report refere
Administer the appropriate radiopharmaceutical by the proper route.	CD	4	
Discard contaminated materials in appropriate waste container.	CD	2	Assemt
Wait appropriate length of time after administra- tion of the radiopharmaceutical to begin imag- ing.	CD	3	using Assay t a dos
Select and prepare proper instrument, com- puter, and auxiliary equipment necessary to perform imaging procedures as indicated by	CD	6	Check f chemi Review
protocol. Place the patient in correct position, using sup- portive materials and immobilizers, and at ap- propriate distance from detector for obtaining each view.	CD	5	pharm Prepare Determ be ad
Indicate appropriate anatomical landmarks for each view of a procedure.	CD	1	activit Check
Collect specimens according to imaging proto- col if applicable.	AD	0–1	actior Calcula
Analyze image appearance or computer infor- mation for normal structure and artifacts mak- ing adjustments if necessary, and assuring correct information is supplied.	CD	5	label v numb Check a prope
Perform any special views as required.	CD	3	appro
Retrieve and process patient data acquired on a computer.	CD	6	Determ pharm
Process film according to manufacturer's speci- fications and film processor optimum opera- tion.	AD	0-1	phy. Verify la ing co
Record information relative to any special cir- cumstances affecting the procedure as needed.	CD	2	ity, lot Calcula nostic
Perform film processor quality control.	AD	0–1	Determi
Determine hematocrit.	CD	1	the ra
Collect and process patient samples appropri- ately.	CD	2	Withdra ceutic
Prepare a standard dilution.	CD	2	and p
Prepare reagents and patient specimens for analysis.	CD	2	Using a admin
Count patient samples for statistically signifi- cant number of counts.	CD	2	Verify th excee
Choose correct detector-patient distance for external counting and count for a statistically significant number of counts.	CD	2	Maintair aration with re
Review nonimaging procedures for possible technical errors that may alter results.	CD	3	Transfe tration
Perform calculations, as required, to determine final results for nonimaging procedures.	CD	3	Label ce ing to

Group III Clinical Procedures Tasks	Domain	Weight
Report both patient calculated values and the reference range for nonimaging procedures.	CD	1
Group IV Radiopharmacy Tasks	Domain	Weight
Assemble and elute a radionuclide generator using aseptic technique.	CD	3
Assay the activity of the generator eluate using a dose calibrator or whole vial assay.	CD	2
Check the generator eluate for radionuclide and chemical contamination.	CD	2
Review the daily work schedule to plan radio- pharmaceutical needs.	CD	3
Prepare radiopharmaceutical compounds.	CD	3
Determine the total volume and radioactivity to be added to a radiopharmaceutical kit within activity limits.	CD	3
Check total activity in radiopharmaceutical re- action vials with a dose calibrator.	CD	3
Calculate the radioactivity of a compound and label vial as to date, time of preparation, lot number, concentration, and volume.	CD	3
Check all radiopharmaceutical preparations for proper pH, color, clarity, and particle size as appropriate.	CD	2
Determine the radiochemical purity of radio- pharmaceutical preparations by chromatogra- phy.	CD	2
Verify label on radiopharmaceutical vial, includ- ing concentration, specific activity, total activ- ity, lot number, assay time, and date.	CD	3
Calculate activity to be administered for diag- nostic or therapeutic procedures.	CD	4
Determine the volume or number of capsules of the radiopharmaceutical required for diagnos- tic and therapeutic procedures.	CD	3
Withdraw correct volume of the radiopharma- ceutical into a syringe using aseptic technique and proper radiation safety precautions.	CD	3
Using a dose calibrator, verify the activity to be administered in the dispensed preparation.	CD	2
Verify that radionuclide impurity limits are not exceeded in the dispensed preparation.	CD	2
Maintain appropriate radiopharmaceutical prep- aration and administration records to comply with regulatory requirements.	CD	3
Transfer radioactive gas or liquid into adminis- tration equipment if appropriate.	CD	2
Label cells with a radiopharmaceutical accord- ing to protocol for procedure.	CD	2