

# Letter from the Editor

## A Time for Decision

Many technologists desire involvement; few technologists are involved. Desire is static; involvement is dynamic. Dynamic activities result in viability.

The forms of involvement are myriad with the common denominator to all of these forms being communication. The overall objective of communication is the transmittance of information, ideas, and understandings. We have at our disposal and for constant use three transmittal vehicles: written, oral, and visual modes. No one mode is always best. However, for specific situations, a decision must be made as to what is the best vehicle. At all levels within the field of nuclear medicine technology, personnel need to be involved and subsequently communicate ideas. Due to the rapid advances in the field and geographical distribution of personnel, the best communicative mode is, perhaps, written. This is the intent of this *Journal*.

We have apparently reached a crisis stage in the development of this *Journal* which is exemplified by a lack of sufficient input. To remain viable any publication must have input in order to serve the functions of *communicative output and feedback*. The present situation indicates that it is time for a decision to be made concerning the future status of this *Journal*. The *Journal* may cease to exist due to insufficient input of articles and news items. The majority of nuclear medicine technologists, I believe, agree that this *Journal* is a necessary ingredient in our professional lives; YOU must decide its fate. The Publications Committee solely cannot maintain it in a viable status; each technologist must decide that he will provide dynamic input and GET INVOLVED in maintaining this *Journal*; otherwise, this could be the last issue—a severe blow to the prestige of the Technologist Section.

GLENN A. ISSERSTEDT  
*Editor*