A Microdose PET Study of the Safety, Immunogenicity, Biodistribution, and Radiation Dosimetry of ¹⁸F-FB-A20FMDV2 for Imaging the Integrin $\alpha_v\beta_6$

Nicholas Keat¹, Julia Kenny², Keguan Chen³, Mayca Onega¹, Nadia Garman⁴, Robert J. Slack⁵, Christine A. Parker⁶, R. Thomas Lumbers⁵, Will Hallett¹, Azeem Saleem¹, Jan Passchier¹, and Pauline T. Lukey⁵

¹Imanova Ltd., London, United Kingdom; ²In Vitro In Vivo Translation, GlaxoSmithKline R&D, Ware, United Kingdom; ³Immunogenicity and Clinical Immunology, GlaxoSmithKline R&D, Upper Merion, Pennsylvania; ⁴Clinical Operations, GlaxoSmithKline R&D, Stevenage, United Kingdom; ⁵Fibrosis Discovery Performance Unit, GlaxoSmithKline R&D, Stevenage, United Kingdom; and ⁶Clinical Imaging, GlaxoSmithKline R&D, Stevenage, United Kingdom

The $\alpha_{v}\beta_{6}$ integrin is involved in the pathogenesis of cancer and fibrosis. A radiolabeled 20-amino-acid av B6-binding peptide, derived from the foot and mouth virus (NAVPNLRGDLQVLAQK-VART [A20FMDV2]), has been developed to image $\alpha_{v}\beta_{6}$ levels preclinically. This study was designed to translate these findings into a clinical PET imaging protocol to measure the expression of $\alpha_v \beta_6$ in humans. Methods: Preclinical toxicology was undertaken, and a direct immunoassay was developed for 4fluorobenzamide (FB)-A20FMDV2. Four healthy human subjects (2 male and 2 female) received a single microdose of ¹⁸F-FB-A20FMDV2 followed by a multibed PET scan of the whole body over more than 3 h. Results: There were no findings in the preclinical toxicology assessments, and no anti-A20FMDV2 antibodies were detected before or after dosing with the PET ligand. The mean and SD of the administered mass of ¹⁸F-FB-A20FMDV2 was 8.7 ± 4.4 µg (range, 2.7–13.0 µg). The mean administered activity was 124 ± 20 MBg (range, 98-145 MBg). There were no adverse or clinically detectable pharmacologic effects in any of the subjects. No significant changes in vital signs, laboratory study results, or electrocardiography results were observed. Uptake of radioactivity was observed in the thyroid, salivary glands, liver, stomach wall, spleen, kidneys, ureters, and bladder. Time-activity curves indicated that the highest activity was in the bladder content, followed by the kidneys, small intestine, stomach, liver, spleen, thyroid, and gallbladder. The largest component of the residence times was the voided urine, followed by muscle, bladder, and liver. Using the mean residence time over all subjects as input to OLINDA/EXM, the effective dose was determined to be 0.0217 mSv/MBq; using residence times from single subjects gave an SD of 0.0020 mSv/MBg from the mean. The critical organ was the urinary bladder, with an absorbed dose of 0.18 mGy/MBq. Conclusion: ¹⁸F-FB-A20FMDV2 successfully passed toxicology criteria, showed no adverse effects in this first-in-humans study, and has an effective dose that enables multiple scans in a single subject. **Key Words:** FTiH; PET; α_vβ₆; integrin; A20FMDV2

E-mail: pauline.t.lukey@gsk.com

Published online Feb. 2, 2018.

J Nucl Med Technol 2018; 46:136–143 DOI: 10.2967/jnmt.117.203547

L he $\alpha_v \beta_6$ integrin is a cell-surface adhesion receptor that, in its activated form, interacts with extracellular ligands bearing the arginine-glycine-aspartic acid (RGD) tripeptide sequence (1). It plays a role in the etiology and progression of several pathologic conditions, including cancer and fibrosis, and as such it is an important prognostic biomarker as well as a potential drug target.

Key ligands for $\alpha_{v}\beta_{6}$ include the latency-associated peptides (LAPs) of transforming growth factor (TGF) B1 and β 3 (LAP β 1 and LAP β 3), as well as extracellular matrix ligands such as fibronectin, tenascin, and vitronectin (2,3). Binding of extracellular matrix ligands to the integrin receptor can promote cell adhesion, activation of intracellular signaling pathways, and local release of activated TGFB from latent complexes in the matrix (1,4,5). $\alpha_{v}\beta_{6}$ is upregulated on many cancers, including pancreatic, breast, ovarian, colon, and over 90% of oral squamous cell carcinoma (6,7). Furthermore, expression of $\alpha_{v}\beta_{6}$ correlates with development of metastasis in gastric cancer (8), is linked with a dramatic reduction in survival from colon cancer (9), and is reported to promote both the survival and the invasive potential of carcinoma cells (10–13). $\alpha_{y}\beta_{6}$ -mediated activation of TGFB promotes myofibroblast differentiation, proliferation, and collagen synthesis as part of normal physiologic wound healing (14, 15). However, when this process persists and fails to resolve, the result is a pathologic elaboration of extracellular matrix, which results in irreversible organ scarring, ultimately resulting in organ failure and death (16).

The foot and mouth disease virus (FMDV) uses $\alpha_{v}\beta_{6}$ to access the intracellular environment of the host and thus causes the symptoms of foot and mouth disease. In fact, the envelope protein of the virus contains a 20-amino-acid peptide sequence, NAVPNLRGDLQVLAQKVART (A20FMDV2) that mediates FMDV infection by binding to $\alpha_{v}\beta_{6}$ (17–19).

Received Oct. 10, 2017; revision accepted Dec. 6, 2017.

For correspondence or reprints contact: Pauline T. Lukey, Fibrosis Discovery Performance Unit, GlaxoSmithKline R&D, Gunnels Wood Rd., Stevenage SG1 2NY, U.K.

COPYRIGHT © 2018 by the Society of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging.

Phage display analysis identified the DLXXL sequence as a key moiety responsible for $\alpha_{\nu}\beta_6$ specificity while having only minimal interactions with other RGD integrins (e.g., $\alpha_{\nu}\beta_3$, $\alpha\nu\beta_5$, and aIIb β_3) (20). The high affinity and selectivity (21), as well as the automated and good-manufacturing-practice–compatible radiochemistry and preclinical radiodosimetry (M. Onega et al., unpublished data, 2017), of this A20FMDV2 peptide for $\alpha_{\nu}\beta_6$ have recently been described.

In this article, we describe the safety, tolerability, immunogenicity, biodistribution, and radiation dosimetry of ¹⁸F-4fluorobenzamide (FB)-A20FMDV2 (also known as ¹⁸F-IMAFIB and ¹⁸F-GSK2634673) in preparation for its use as a PET ligand to delineate $\alpha_v \beta_6$ in humans. If successful, this PET ligand may be useful in the clinical management of patients with cancer or fibrosis, since levels of $\alpha_v \beta_6$ expression may be of prognostic value (22,23) and potentially useful for treatment decisions in clinical practice. In addition, ¹⁸F-FB-A20FMDV2 may prove valuable as an in vivo imaging tool to demonstrate target engagement during development of new therapies that target $\alpha_{v}\beta_{6}$. Before we began this firstin-humans study of ¹⁸F-FB-A20FMDV2, we identified various potential risks and developed strategies to manage them. Preclinical toxicology was conducted to support microdosing in humans (up to a maximum mass dose of 100 µg, as detailed in International Committee on Harmonization guideline M3[R2]). Potential for immunogenic risk was explored through development of an immunogenicity assay to monitor both preexisting antibodies and antibodies induced by exposure to this FMDV2 peptide within this study.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Conduct

Subjects were screened and recruited at Hammersmith Medicines Research, London, U.K., and imaging assessments were conducted at the Imanova Centre for Imaging Sciences, London, U.K. The study was approved by the London–Brent Research Ethics Committee, U.K. (13/LO/1792), and permission to administer radioisotopes was obtained from the Administration of Radioactive Substances Advisory Committee of the U.K. (630/3925/30809). The PETAL study (PET Study of $\alpha_v\beta_6$ in Lungs) is listed on clinicaltrials. gov as "A Validation and Dosimetry Study of GSK2634673F PET Ligand" (NCT02052297 and RES116235), and the dosimetry data presented here form part of that study.

Subjects

Four healthy human subjects (2 male and 2 female; age range, 48–65 y) were enrolled in the study after providing written informed consent. The main inclusion criteria were an age of 45 y or older and no clinically significant illness or disease. The main exclusion criteria were prior exposure to more than 10 mSv of radiation over the past 3 y or more than 10 mSv in a single year, including the proposed study; previous or current exposure to animals that may harbor FMDV (FMDV2); and previous long-term (\geq 3 mo) residence in a country where FMDV2 is endemic (such as certain areas of Africa, Asia, and South America).

Preclinical Toxicology

FB-A20FMDV2 (a nonradioactive homolog of the proposed labeled PET peptide) was screened against a broad range of in vitro pharmacologic targets (receptors, ion channels, enzymes, and transporters) that were distinct from the intended therapeutic target ($\alpha_v\beta_6$ integrin receptor) to assess the potential for off-target interactions.

A limited number of nonclinical toxicity studies were performed to evaluate the potential toxicity of the triacetate salt of FB-A20FMDV2, based on the principles of the 2009 M3(R2) guideline of the International Committee on Harmonization to support the human microdose PET study. The hemolytic potential of FB-A20FMDV2 solutions in 0.9% w/v aqueous sodium chloride (Fresenius Kabi) at concentrations of 0.04 and 0.4 mg/mL were assessed in vitro in rat and human blood. These concentrations were selected to match the concentrations used in a subsequent rat toxicity study. Rats were selected as a relevant species because they are known to express $\alpha_{v}\beta_{6}$ integrin receptors (M. Onega et al., unpublished data, 2017; (24)). To examine the toxicity and irritancy potential, groups of 10 male and 10 female Crl:Wi (Han) rats (9-11 wk old) received a single intravenous dose of vehicle (0.9% w/v aqueous sodium chloride) or FB-A20FMDV2 at 0.2 mg/kg or 2 mg/kg, at a dose volume of 5 mL/kg. These doses were approximately 100-fold and 1,000-fold the maximum permissible human microdose on a mg/kg basis. The animals in this cohort were sacrificed 24 h after dosing. Additional groups of 5 male and 5 female rats were treated similarly and then remained off-dose for 14 d to investigate the regression or progression of any target organ toxicity present at the end of the treatment period or delayed onset of any target organ toxicity. All animal studies were ethically reviewed and performed in accordance with the Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act of 1986 and the GlaxoSmithKline Policy on the Care, Welfare, and Treatment of Laboratory Animals.

Immunogenicity Assay

A direct immunoassay was developed and validated using a pool of A20FMDV2 (GSK3225458) peptide labeled with biotin either at the N- or the C-terminus to capture antibodies to the peptide. Captured antibodies were detected using a horseradish peroxidase–conjugated polyclonal goat antihuman IgG (heavy and light chains [H+L]) reagent capable of binding to human antibodies regardless of isotype. A negative control was prepared from pooled normal human serum and was used to define sensitivity and to normalize the results. Screening and confirmation cut points were determined during method validation.

Serum samples for immunogenicity screening were obtained from all 4 subjects before administration of ¹⁸F-FB-A20FMDV2 and at follow-up (2–4 wk after administration of ¹⁸F-FB-A20FMDV2). Samples were stored at -70° C until assayed.

The materials used were as follows: A20FMDV2 peptide (GSK2634673E), N-terminal biotin-peptide (GSK3225458A), Cterminal [4-FBA]-peptide-biotin (GSK3228218A), SmartBlock (catalog no. 113-500; Candor), LowCross-Buffer (catalog no. 100-500; Candor), normal goat serum (catalog no. 7332500; Lampire), human healthy donor serum (Bioreclamation), biotinylated human IgG (catalog no. 009-060-003; Jackson), goat antihuman IgG (H+L) horseradish peroxidase conjugate (catalog no. 109-035-088; Jackson), goat anti-rabbit IgG (H+L) horseradish peroxidase conjugate (catalog no. 111-035-045; Jackson), streptavidin plate (catalog no. 436014; Nunc), 10× phosphate-buffered saline, 1% polysorbate 20 (GlaxoSmithKline Media Prep), rabbit anti-GSK2634673E positive control (PC) antibody pool (lot no. DIO2.3/197923; GlaxoSmithKline), human plasma fibronectin (lot no. 2361790; EMD Millipore), EXL-405 plate washer (Biotek), and Spectra-Max plate reader (Molecular Devices).

Negative control was prepared by pooling 54 samples of normal human serum, and PC was prepared by spiking rabbit antipeptide antibody in negative control serum at 500 ng/mL for high PC and 25 ng/mL for low PC. Unlabeled peptide and N-/C-terminal biotin-labeled peptides (1:1) were tested for plate coating with different fractions of glycine eluded (PC1A, PC2A) and TEA eluded (high affinity and binding, PC1B, PC2B) from 2 batches of PCs at 1,000, 500, 100, and 10 ng/mL. Assay sensitivity, PC specificity, and RGD motif interference were evaluated during method validation.

The antipeptide antibody was detected by a validated direct binding enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. Briefly, assay controls and samples were diluted at 1:50 in assay buffer containing biotin-peptides ($2.5 \ \mu g/mL$) and incubated for 3 h at room temperature. The preincubated samples were transferred to a streptavidin-coated plate for a 1-h incubation. After a wash step, a cocktail of horseradish peroxidase–conjugated goat antihuman IgG (H+L) and antirabbit IgG (H+L) was added to the plate and incubated for 1 h. After any unbound conjugate had been washed away, 3,3',5,5'-tetramethylbenzidine substrate was added to the plate. The bound conjugates produced a color reaction, and the intensity of the signal was directly proportional to the amount of detected antibody in the sample. Biotinylated human IgGs were used as a plate PC (Supplemental Fig. 1; supplemental materials are available at http://jnm.snmjournals.org).

To determine a screening cut point, 76 healthy subjects (38 male and 38 female) were tested 3 times by 2 analysts on 2 different days to determine potential positives (above the cut point) and negatives (below). For the confirmation assay, 20 randomly selected subjects (10 male and 10 female) were spiked with 25 ng/mL of PC, and the spiked (positive population) and unspiked (negative population) samples were then incubated in the presence and absence, respectively, of excess peptide (50 μ g/mL).

Clinical serum samples (preadministration and at week 3) were collected from the 4 healthy subjects and tested in this immunogenicity assay.

Imaging

Healthy human subjects were imaged for up to 3.5 h on a Biograph 6 TruePoint PET/CT scanner (Siemens Healthcare) after intravenous administration of ¹⁸F-FB-A20FMDV2, prepared according to a previously published method (M. Onega et al., unpublished data, 2017). The effective dose for each attenuation-corrected CT scan in this study was estimated to be 2.3 mSv using the ImPACT CTDosimetry spreadsheet system (25).

Before injection, each subject underwent CT for attenuation correction over 6–7 PET bed lengths covering the vertex of the head to the mid thigh. CT scanning used a 130-kV tube potential and 15 effective mAs exposure, with a 0.6-s gantry rotation time and a spiral pitch of 1.5. CT image data were acquired with 6×3 mm channels and reconstructed to 5-mm slices at 3-mm intervals, with a 700-mm field of view.

For each subject, PET scanning was initiated at the time of injection, and a series of 6 whole-body scans was obtained. Each scan consisted of 6–7 bed positions (depending on subject size), with the duration of subsequent scans increasing from 1 min per bed position to 2, 5, 5, 7, and 7 min per bed position. Between whole-body scans 4 and 5, the subject left the scanner bed to void the bladder and to move about for around 20 min to limit discomfort. After this break, a second attenuation-corrected CT scan was obtained before PET imaging was resumed. PET images were reconstructed on a 256×256 matrix, with a zoom of 1.3 using

ordered-subsets expectation-maximization with 3 iterations, 21 subsets, and a 5-mm gaussian image filter. Corrections for scatter, attenuation, and decay (to the start of each scan) were applied.

Image Analysis

Image analysis was performed on an Inveon Research Workplace workstation (version 4.0.1.14; Siemens Healthcare). Regions of interest (ROIs) were drawn on the PET/CT datasets, delineating as many organs relevant to dosimetry as possible, in particular those defined as source organs by OLINDA/EXM, version 1.1 (26). CT anatomy or PET uptake was used as a guide, with one set of ROIs being drawn for the first 4 scans and another set being drawn for scans 5 and 6, after the bladder void and rest. A separate bladder ROI was used for each frame to account for the change in size as the bladder filled and emptied over the course of the study. The activity concentrations in these ROIs were exported from Inveon Research Workplace and imported to Excel (Microsoft) for further analysis. Organ ROI activity concentrations were tabulated for each frame, to create integrated time-activity curves, with the activity in each organ at the end of the sixth scan being assumed to decay in situ without further redistribution. These values were then multiplied by the organ volume in the Cristy and Eckerman adult phantom (27) to calculate the organ residence time (the equivalent duration in hours that a single megabecquerel of activity is present in that organ per injected megabecquerel of radioligand [MBq·h/MBq]).

The bladder was treated separately, with the total activity in the bladder in each frame being input to a model using an exponential fill and void, fitting parameters for the exponential rate and magnitude, and the void time and voided fraction. This model was then used with a 2-h voiding period fitted to the measured data and integrated to calculate the bladder residence time.

The total residence times for all organs for an injected radioligand should add to the mean lifetime for that isotope, given by the half-life divided by the natural logarithm of 2, which for ¹⁸F is 2.64 h. A remainder residence time was assigned to the injected activity that was not measured within ROIs in the image, given by the mean lifetime minus the total residence time over all organs and voided urine.

The mean organ residence times over all subjects were used as the input to OLINDA/EXM, which calculated the absorbed dose to each of the relevant target organs (mGy/MBq) and provided the resulting effective dose per unit of injected activity (mSv/MBq). Organs without specific tissue weighting factors result in an effective dose contribution of 0, as do the testes (because OLINDA/EXM uses the higher of the testis and ovary absorbed doses to characterize the gonad dose).

To provide a measure of the variability of this result, the effective dose per unit injected activity was also calculated using the residence times from each individual subject.

RESULTS

Preclinical Toxicology

FB-A20FMDV2 did not demonstrate any off-target activity when screened against a broad range of in vitro pharmacologic targets (receptors, ion channels, enzymes, and transporters), including a cardiovascular liability panel. Since FB-A20FMDV2 is a large-molecular-weight peptide, access to the ion channels was limited and it was considered unlikely that the peptide would affect the hERG channel. Therefore, taken together, further nonclinical safety pharmacologic assessment was not considered necessary.

The hemolytic potential of FB-A20FMDV2 in 0.9% w/v aqueous sodium chloride at concentrations of 0.04 and 0.4 mg/mL was assessed in vitro in rat and human blood. As anticipated, no evidence of hemolysis was observed at either concentration tested, and therefore, this formulation was deemed suitable for intravenous use. Local irritancy and target organ toxicity were investigated in an intravenous extended singledose toxicity study in rats at 0.2 or 2 mg/kg with a 14-d off-dose period. Both doses were well tolerated, and no treatment-related clinical signs of toxicity, changes in body weight gain, or food consumption were observed. In addition, no treatment-related changes in clinical pathology parameters (hematology, clinical chemistry, and coagulation) were observed at either dose. At both terminal necropsy and after the 14-d off-dose period, there were no FB-A20FMDV2-related macroscopic or microscopic observations. Stage-dependent qualitative evaluation of spermatogenesis in the testes was performed on all male rats given vehicle and a 2 mg/kg dose of FB-A20FMDV2. The testes revealed normal progression of the spermatogenic cycle, and the expected cell associations and proportions in the various stages of spermatogenesis were present.

Thus, ¹⁸F-FB-A20FMDV2 was shown to be nontoxic and to support microdosing in humans up to 100 μ g (equivalent to 2 μ g/kg for a 50-kg individual), with anticipated cover (at the human dose) of 1,000-fold on a mg/kg basis.

Clinical Safety and Tolerability

The PET scans were well tolerated, and all 4 subjects completed the scans as planned. None of the 4 subjects had any adverse events associated with the ¹⁸F-FB-A20FMDV2 or the PET procedure. Three adverse events occurred in 2 subjects: abdominal cramps in subject 4, erythema of the conjunctiva in subject 1, and heaviness of the arms in subject 4. Subject 4 had the lowest mass injected (2.7 μ g), whereas subject 1 had the highest mass administered (13 μ g). Therefore, there was no relationship to the mass administered. All adverse events were mild and transient and resolved the same day. Causality was determined by the study physicians based on their combined experience with phase I trials and with studies of other novel radiotracers. We believe that none of these adverse effects was due to the radioligand or the imaging procedure.

Immunogenicity

The screening and confirmation cut points were statistically established during validation and were 2.10 relative optical density (ROD) and 48.4% inhibition, respectively.

To differentiate peptide-boosted true-positive from the preexisting positive responses in a healthy population, a ratio of postdose ROD over predose ROD was calculated. Samples with a ratio of 2 or more were reported as peptide-boosted positives. On the basis of clinical sample testing results, all 4 human subjects were negative in the antipeptide antibody assays.

To avoid epitope masking due to plate coating or biotinylation of peptide, a mixture of N- and C-terminal biotinylation peptides was tested on 96-well streptavidin plates, compared with unlabeled peptide on regular plates. The results showed that the signals of PC1A were higher than those of PC2A; PC2B and PC2B had very low or negative responses on peptide-coated plates. The sensitivity of the peptide-coated plate assay was poor ($\geq 100 \text{ ng/mL}$). However, all PCs had strong and similar responses on biotinpeptide plates (Supplemental Figs. 3 and 4). Assay PC did not have a cross-reaction to endogenous RGD-containing proteins, and its signals were not inhibited in the presence of fibronectin at concentrations of up to 50 µg/mL (Supplemental Fig. 4). The percentage inhibition of PC at 20, 100, and 500 ng/mL by peptide were 53%, 73%, and 79%, respectively (Supplemental Fig. 5). The sensitivity was demonstrated to be 9.2 ng of PC per milliliter. The peptide interference level was 100 µg of peptide per milliliter at 100 ng of PC per milliliter. The intrarun and interrun precision for PC samples was 16.9% and 9.0%, respectively.

The screening and confirmation cut points were statistically established during validation and were 2.10 ROD and 48.41% inhibition, respectively. Among 75 healthy subjects, 17% (13/76) were screened and confirmed positive by peptide inhibition and excluded for screening cut point determination. To differentiate peptide-induced true-positives from the prevalence of positive responses in clinical subjects, a ratio of predose ROD over postdose ROD was calculated for all subjects who had a confirmed predose positive sample. Samples with a ratio of 2 or more were reported as peptide-induced positives. On the basis of clinical sample testing results, all 4 human subjects were negative in antipeptide antibody assays.

The A20FMDV2 peptide has 100% homology with FMDV, type O, VP1 139-158. The peptide strongly and specifically binds to $\alpha_v\beta_6$ receptor through its RGD motifs. Compared with integrin receptor ligands in vivo, the sequences 1–6 and 11–20 are nonhumanized and may potentially raise antibodies (Supplemental Fig. 5). The RGD motifs

Subject Demographic and Scan Details											
Subject	Sex	Age (y)	Weight (kg)	Scan duration (min)	Injected activity (MBq)	Specific activity (GBq/µmol)	Injected mass (µg)				
1	М	47	95.0	204	145	25.5	13.0				
2	М	58	75.6	214	121	26.4	10.5				
3	F	59	85.6	213	134	35.2	8.7				
4	F	64	75.6	184	98	84.3	2.7				

 TABLE 1

 Subject Demographic and Scan Details



FIGURE 1. PET activity though single coronal slice for subject 1, over 6 scans (scale, 0–10 kBq/mL; mid-frame time points are shown in hours:minutes:seconds).

of peptide may also be immunogenic to humans (Supplemental Fig. 6). Furthermore, if a polyclonal PC contains anti-RGD motif antibodies, it may also bind to ligands such as fibronectin, tenascin-C, fibrinogen, LAP-TGF β , and vitronectin in serum, resulting in an assay issue (the positive control may bind to peptides other than A20FMDV2 resulting in spurious data). Since fibronectin (molecular weight, 460 kDa; dimer) is one of the ligands that specifically binds to α_v and β_6 chains of the receptor, it was tested with PC during method development to evaluate PC specificity. The results showed that rabbit polyclonal antipeptide antibody did not bind to fibronectin or other RGD motif proteins in human serum and could be used as a PC for the antipeptide antibody assays.

Use of both N- and C-terminal labeled peptides as the capture reagents can potentially eliminate epitope-maskinginduced false-negatives and enhance the direct enzymelinked immunosorbent assay's sensitivity and drug tolerance. This approach may be applied when immunogenicity methods are developed for other small-peptide biotherapeutics if there is concern about epitope-masking when labeling of the peptide is required or is directly immobilized on a solid surface. Furthermore, this direct enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay format can be used for screening, confirmation, titration, and isotyping assays. The antipeptide antibody method has been successfully used for this study of 4 healthy subjects and for other ongoing studies (data not shown) and will be further validated in patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. The impact of preexisting antibodies on efficacy, safety, and immunogenicity will be studied.

PET Imaging

Four subjects were scanned, with demographic and radioligand administration details given in Table 1. PET images, through a single coronal slice, are presented in Figure 1 and show the activity uptake and clearance by tissue organs over the more than 3-h total study time for subject 1. Organs that are clearly visible in this slice through the body include the thyroid, salivary glands, liver, stomach wall, spleen, ureters, and bladder. Figure 2 shows coronal and sagittal CT views through subject 1, overlaid with organ ROIs.

Time–activity curves from the ROIs through the 13 most active organs are shown in Figure 3 (colors of organ ROIs and time–activity curves are matched; time–activity curves with a maximum value of less than 2 kBq/mL are not shown and include muscle, testes, cortical bone, trabecular bone, bone marrow, lungs, and brain). The greatest activity concentration was observed for the bladder content, which, in contrast to the other organs, increased after the first scan, with the interruption of the void at 2 h. The other notable organs of uptake

included the kidneys, small intestine, stomach wall, liver, spleen, thyroid, and gallbladder, whose time–activity curves generally had their highest point in scan 1 and decreased broadly linearly over this logarithmic graph, indicating exponential clearance. The time–activity curves for all 4 subjects were broadly similar.

Organ residence times for OLINDA source organs that could be identified from scan images for each subject, as well as the mean across all subjects, are shown in Table 2. Residence times for breast tissue were assessed for female subjects only, and testes for males only. Organ total is the sum of the residence times measured in individual organ ROIs. Voided urine is calculated from the quantity of urine voided according to the modeled bladder fit. The largest component of the mean residence time was the voided urine, followed by remainder, muscle, bladder, and liver. The residence time was affected not only by the activity concentration but also by the organ mass hence, the influence of larger organs such as muscle and liver.



FIGURE 2. Organ ROIs for subject 1. Organs are colored to match those in time–activity curves (Fig. 3).



FIGURE 3. Organ time-activity curves for subject 1.

The mean residence times were entered into OLINDA/ EXM, and the results are in Table 3, showing the organ β , γ , and total absorbed doses (mGy) and effective dose contribution (mSv) from each organ, as well as the total effective dose per injected megabecquerel. The β -dose to the stomach wall was adjusted to correct for OLINDA/EXM's use of the stomach contents as a source organ, rather than the wall itself.

The critical organ was the urinary bladder, with an absorbed dose of 0.198 mGy/MBq. The effective dose derived from the mean of the organ residence times was 0.0217 mSv/MBq. The

effective dose derived using each subject's residence times ranged from 0.0217 to 0.0247 mSv/MBq, resulting in an SD of 0.0020 mSv/MBq.

DISCUSSION

To the best of our knowledge, this study involved the first-in-human administration of ¹⁸F-FB-A20FMDV2, a PET ligand that has been shown preclinically to bind with high affinity and specificity to the integrin $\alpha_v\beta_6$ (M. Onega et al., unpublished data, 2017; (21,28–30)). $\alpha_v\beta_6$ is involved in the etiology and pathogenesis of cancer and fibrosis, and its expression levels have prognostic and theranostic implications

for patient management and drug development. Thus, a PET ligand that can be used to noninvasively measure expression levels of this integrin in humans is likely to have broad clinical application both in patient management and in the development of new treatments that target $\alpha_v\beta_6$. The current study provided information about the safety, tolerability, and biodistribution of ¹⁸F-FB-A20FMDV2 in healthy subjects, as well as the radiation dosimetry of this ligand.

The observed benign profile in the 4 humans tested supports the lack of findings in the preclinical toxicology tests. In addition, no antibodies to the ligand were

			0		
Organ	Subject 1	Subject 2	Subject 3	Subject 4	Mean
Brain	0.0035	0.0037	0.0034	0.0047	0.0038
Breasts			0.0034	0.0027	0.0030
Gallbladder	0.0026	0.0038	0.0036	0.0063	0.0041
Lower large intestine	0.0072	0.0085	0.0170	0.0053	0.0095
Small intestine	0.0619	0.0681	0.0571	0.0633	0.0626
Stomach	0.0217	0.0208	0.0183	0.0165	0.0193
Upper large intestine	0.0105	0.0112	0.0117	0.0155	0.0122
Heart contents	0.0093	0.0111	0.0087	0.0112	0.0101
Heart wall	0.0065	0.0077	0.0060	0.0078	0.0070
Kidneys	0.0760	0.0674	0.0560	0.0983	0.0744
Liver	0.0928	0.1796	0.1627	0.2084	0.1609
Lungs	0.0370	0.0326	0.0360	0.0500	0.0389
Muscle	0.6335	0.5868	0.3425	0.4109	0.4934
Red marrow	0.0125	0.0131	0.0098	0.0115	0.0117
Cortical bone	0.0420	0.0294	0.0251	0.0397	0.0341
Trabecular bone	0.0117	0.0273	0.0074	0.0212	0.0169
Spleen	0.0086	0.0183	0.0118	0.0137	0.0131
Testes	0.0007	0.0010			0.0009
Thyroid	0.0009	0.0007	0.0008	0.0010	0.0008
Urinary bladder	0.3410	0.3649	0.5587	0.3680	0.4082
Organ total	1.3801	1.4562	1.3400	1.3559	1.3850
Voided urine	0.5996	0.6246	1.0001	0.6576	0.7205
Remainder	0.6605	0.5593	0.3001	0.6266	0.5346
Data are MBq·h/MBq.					

 TABLE 2

 Residence Times of ¹⁸F-FB-A20FMDV2 for Each Organ

 TABLE 3

 Absorbed and Effective Dose to Each Organ

Adrenals 1.01E-03 7.62E-03 8.63E-03 Brain 3.73E-04 1.41E-03 1.79E-03 Breasts 1.19E-03 2.72E-03 3.91E-03 Gallbladder wall 6.11E-03 1.05E-02 1.66E-02 Lower large intestine 5.63E-03 1.18E-02 1.74E-02 wall Small intestine 1.13E-02 9.82E-03 2.11E-02 Stomach wall 1.11E-02 7.65E-03 1.87E-02 Upper large intestine 4.66E-03 9.93E-03 1.46E-02 wall 1.11E-02 7.65E-03 1.87E-02 Upper large intestine 4.66E-03 9.93E-03 1.46E-02 wall 1.11E-02 1.58E-02 5.04E-02 Liver 1.17E-02 1.58E-02 5.04E-02 Liver 1.17E-02 1.16E-02 2.33E-02 Liver 1.17E-02 5.09E-02 1.05E-02	4.31E-05
Brain 3.73E-04 1.41E-03 1.79E-03 Breasts 1.19E-03 2.72E-03 3.91E-03 Gallbladder wall 6.11E-03 1.05E-02 1.66E-02 Lower large intestine 5.63E-03 1.18E-02 1.74E-02 wall 1.11E-02 7.65E-03 1.87E-02 Small intestine 1.11E-02 7.65E-03 1.87E-02 Upper large intestine 4.66E-03 9.93E-03 1.46E-02 Wall 1.11E-02 7.65E-03 1.87E-02 Upper large intestine 4.66E-03 9.93E-03 1.46E-02 Wall 1.11E-02 7.65E-03 1.87E-02 Upper large intestine 4.66E-03 9.93E-03 1.46E-02 Wall 1.11E-02 1.58E-02 5.04E-02 Upper large 5.04E-02 Liver 1.17E-02 1.16E-02 2.33E-02 1.04E-02 1.04E-02	
Breasts 1.19E-03 2.72E-03 3.91E-03 Gallbladder wall 6.11E-03 1.05E-02 1.66E-02 Lower large intestine 5.63E-03 1.18E-02 1.74E-02 wall 2.11E-02 2.11E-02 Small intestine 1.13E-02 9.82E-03 2.11E-02 Stomach wall 1.11E-02 7.65E-03 1.87E-02 Upper large intestine 4.66E-03 9.93E-03 1.46E-02 wall 1.15E-02 2.83E-02 Upper large intestine 4.66E-03 9.93E-03 1.46E-02 wall 1.17E-02 1.58E-02 5.04E-02 Liver 1.17E-02 1.16E-02 2.33E-02 Liver 1.17E-02 5.04E-02 1.05E-02	8.93E-06
Gallbladder wall 6.11E-03 1.05E-02 1.66E-02 Lower large intestine 5.63E-03 1.18E-02 1.74E-02 wall	1.96E-04
Lower large intestine 5.63E-03 1.18E-02 1.74E-02 wall Small intestine 1.13E-02 9.82E-03 2.11E-02 Stomach wall 1.11E-02 7.65E-03 1.87E-02 Upper large intestine 4.66E-03 9.93E-03 1.46E-02 wall 4.66E-03 9.93E-03 1.46E-02 Heart wall 4.64E-03 5.83E-03 1.05E-02 Kidneys 3.46E-02 1.58E-02 5.04E-02 Liver 1.17E-02 1.16E-02 2.33E-02	0.00E+00
Small intestine 1.13E-02 9.82E-03 2.11E-02 Stomach wall 1.11E-02 7.65E-03 1.87E-02 Upper large intestine 4.66E-03 9.93E-03 1.46E-02 wall	2.09E-03
Stomach wall 1.11E-02 7.65E-03 1.87E-02 Upper large intestine 4.66E-03 9.93E-03 1.46E-02 wall	1.06E-04
Upper large intestine wall 4.66E-03 9.93E-03 1.46E-02 Heart wall 4.64E-03 5.83E-03 1.05E-02 Kidneys 3.46E-02 1.58E-02 5.04E-02 Liver 1.17E-02 1.16E-02 2.33E-02	2.25E-03
Heart wall 4.64E-03 5.83E-03 1.05E-02 Kidneys 3.46E-02 1.58E-02 5.04E-02 Liver 1.17E-02 1.16E-02 2.33E-02	7.30E-05
Kidneys 3.46E-02 1.58E-02 5.04E-02 Liver 1.17E-02 1.16E-02 2.33E-02 Liver 5.04E-02 1.06E-02 1.06E-02	0.00E+00
Liver 1.17E-02 1.16E-02 2.33E-02	2.52E-04
	1.16E-03
Lungs 5.42E=03 5.00E=03 1.04E=02	1.25E-03
Muscle 2.46E-03 5.51E-03 7.96E-03	3.98E-05
Ovaries 1.01E-03 1.17E-02 1.27E-02	2.54E-03
Pancreas 1.01E-03 8.10E-03 9.11E-03	4.56E-05
Red marrow 1.96E-03 5.55E-03 7.52E-03	9.02E-04
Osteogenic cells 5.32E-03 4.87E-03 1.02E-02	1.02E-04
Skin 1.01E-03 3.04E-03 4.05E-03	4.05E-05
Spleen 9.95E-03 8.77E-03 1.87E-02	9.36E-05
Testes 3.19E-03 7.37E-03 1.06E-02	0.00E+00
Thymus 1.01E-03 4.20E-03 5.21E-03	2.61E-05
Thyroid 5.36E-03 4.12E-03 9.47E-03	4.74E-04
Urinary bladder wall 1.36E–01 6.26E–02 1.98E–01	9.92E-03
Uterus 1.01E-03 1.83E-02 1.93E-02	9.64E-05
Total body 2.86E-03 5.48E-03 8.33E-03	0.00E+00
Effective dose (mSv/MBq)	2.17E-02

detected either before or after administration of the ligand.

Immunohistochemistry in various mouse organs, using a monoclonal antibody specific for $\alpha_v\beta_6$, revealed that this integrin is expressed constitutively at high or moderate levels in the epithelium of the gallbladder, stomach, duodenum, ileum, and colon (*31*). Low levels of $\alpha_v\beta_6$ expression were detected in the mouse skin (*31*). These data were supported by SPECT imaging data using indium-labeled A20FMDV2, but the lung was added to the list of organs expressing $\alpha_v\beta_6$ (*31*). PET images from the current human study showed focal uptake in the small intestine, stomach, liver, spleen, thyroid and gallbladder, but most of the activity was cleared to the bladder by the kidneys. The largest organ doses were within the abdominal cavity, with the highest value being to the bladder wall and then the kidneys, liver, small intestine, uterus, stomach, and spleen.

The effective dose, which was 0.0217 mSv/MBq, was lower than the figure from rat data (0.0335 mSv/MBq) (M. Onega et al., unpublished data, 2017), largely resulting from the lower residence time in the bladder and small intestine in humans, who have longer residence times than rats. The result is fairly typical for an ¹⁸F-based ligand and is close to the value of 0.019 mSv/MBq (*32*) for the most widely used PET

ligand, ¹⁸F-FDG. The critical organ is the urinary bladder, which is common for a ligand that is cleared from the body by a renal route.

CONCLUSION

¹⁸F-FB-A20FMDV2 is a safe PET radioligand for future clinical studies to investigate changes in $\alpha_v\beta_6$ receptor availability as a result of increased expression in disease or after direct competition with a drug candidate. It has the potential to be used as a diagnostic, prognostic, and theranostic marker in the clinical management of cancer and fibrosis. In addition, ¹⁸F-FB-A20FMDV2 may be used in the development of novel therapies that target $\alpha_v\beta_6$.

DISCLOSURE

This study was sponsored by GlaxoSmithKline R&D (study numbers 200262 and NCT02612051). GlaxoSmithKline reviewed the manuscript for factual accuracy. Nicholas Keat, Mayca Onega, Will Hallett, Azeem Saleem, and Jan Passchier are employees of Imanova Ltd. Julia Kenny, Keguan Chen, Nadia Garman, Robert J. Slack, Christine A. Parker, Tom Lumbers, and Pauline T. Lukey are employees (or were employees at the time of the study) of GlaxoSmithKline R&D and own (or owned) shares in the company. No other potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the staff at Imanova Ltd. and GlaxoSmithKline R&D, Ware, Upper Merion, and Stevenage, for their professional conduct and successful delivery of all study procedures. We acknowledge the work of Andy Brown in the initial aspects of the study setup procedures.

REFERENCES

- 1. Munger JS, Huang X, Kawakatsu H, et al. The integrin $\alpha_v\beta_6$ binds and activates latent TGF β 1: a mechanism for regulating pulmonary inflammation and fibrosis. *Cell*. 1999;96:319–328.
- Busk M, Pytela R, Sheppard D. Characterization of the integrin ανβ6 as a fibronectin-binding protein. J Biol Chem. 1992;267:5790–5796.
- Prieto AL, Edelman GM, Crossin KL. Multiple integrins mediate cell attachment to cytotactin/tenascin. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1993;90:10154–10158.
- 4. Annes JP, Rifkin DB, Munger JS. The integrin $\alpha_v\beta_6$ binds and activates latent TGF β 3. *FEBS Lett.* 2002;511:65–68.
- Henderson NC, Sheppard D. Integrin-mediated regulation of TGFβ in fibrosis. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2013;1832:891–896.
- Thomas GJ, Lewis MP, Hart IR, Marshall JF, Speight PM. αvβ6 integrin promotes invasion of squamous carcinoma cells through up-regulation of matrix metalloproteinase-9. Int J Cancer. 2001;92:641–650.
- Breuss JM, Gallo J, DeLisser HM, et al. Expression of the beta 6 integrin subunit in development, neoplasia and tissue repair suggests a role in epithelial remodeling. J Cell Sci. 1995;108:2241–2251.
- Kawashima A, Tsugawa S, Boku A, et al. Expression of alpha v integrin family in gastric carcinomas: increased alphavbeta6 is associated with lymph node metastasis. *Pathol Res Pract.* 2003;199:57–64.
- Bates RC, Bellovin DI, Brown C, et al. Transcriptional activation of integrin β6 during the epithelial-mesenchymal transition defines a novel prognostic indicator of aggressive colon carcinoma. *J Clin Invest.* 2005;115:339–347.
- Thomas GJ, Nystrom ML, Marshall JF. αvβ6 integrin in wound healing and cancer of the oral cavity. J Oral Pathol Med. 2006;35:1–10.
- Thomas GJ, Hart IR, Speight PM, Marshall JF, et al. Binding of TGF-β1 latencyassociated peptide (LAP) to αvβ6 integrin modulates behaviour of squamous carcinoma cells. *Br J Cancer.* 2002;87:859–867.
- 12. Nystrom ML, McCulloch D, Weinreb PH, et al. Cyclooxygenase-2 inhibition suppresses $\alpha_v\beta_6$ integrin-dependent oral squamous carcinoma invasion. *Cancer Res.* 2006;66:10833–10842.
- Janes SM, Watt FM. New roles for integrins in squamous-cell carcinoma. Nat Rev Cancer. 2006;6:175–183.
- Roberts AB, Sporn MB, Assoian RK. Transforming growth factor type β: rapid induction of fibrosis and angiogenesis *in vivo* and stimulation of collagen formation *in vitro*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 1986;83:4167–4171.

- Roberts AB, Sporn MB. Regulation of endothelial cell growth, architecture, and matrix synthesis by TGF-β. *Am Rev Respir Dis.* 1989;140:1126–1128.
- Thomas BJ, Kan-O K, Loveland KL, Elias JA, Bardin PG. In the shadow of fibrosis: innate immune suppression mediated by transforming growth factor-β. *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol.* 2016;55:759–766.
- Jackson T, Sheppard D, Denyer M, Blakemore W, King AM. The epithelial integrin αvβ6 is a receptor for foot-and-mouth disease virus. J Virol. 2000;74: 4949–4956.
- Monaghan P, Gold S, Simpson J, et al. The αvβ6 integrin receptor for foot-andmouth disease virus is expressed constitutively on the epithelial cells targeted in cattle. J Gen Virol. 2005;86:2769–2780.
- Burman A, Clark S, Abrescia NG, Fry EE, Stuart DI, Jackson T. Specificity of the VP1 GH loop of foot-and-mouth disease virus for αv integrins. J Virol. 2006;80:9798–9810.
- Kraft S, Diefenbach B, Mehta R, Jonczyk A, Luckenbach GA, Goodman SL. Definition of an unexpected ligand recognition motif for αvβ6 integrin. J Biol Chem. 1999;274:1979–1985.
- Slack RJ, Hafeji M, Rogers R, et al. Pharmacological characterization of the αvβ6 integrin binding and internalization kinetics of the foot-and-mouth disease virus derived peptide A20FMDV2. *Pharmacology*. 2016;97:114–125.
- Saini G, Porte J2, Weinreb PH, et al. αvβ6 integrin may be a potential prognostic biomarker in interstitial lung disease. *Eur Respir J.* 2015;46:486–494.
- 23. Hussain M, Miller K, Rybicka I, Bruns R. Primary outcomes of the placebocontrolled phase 2 study PERSEUS (NCT01360840) investigating two dose regimens of abituzumab (DI17E6, EMD 525797) in the treatment of chemotherapynaive patients (pts) with asymptomatic or mildly symptomatic metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC) [abstract]. J Clin Oncol. 2014;32 (15_suppl):5030–5030.
- Popov Y, Patsenker E, Stickel F, et al. Integrin αvβ6 is a marker of the progression of biliary and portal liver fibrosis and a novel target for antifibrotic therapies. *J Hepatol.* 2008;48:453–464.
- ImPACT's CT dosimetry tool: CTDosimetry version 1.0.4. Impactscan.org website. http://www.impactscan.org/ctdosimetry.htm. Published May 27, 2011. Accessed March 6, 2018.
- Stabin MG, Sparks RB, Crowe E. OLINDA/EXM: the second-generation personal computer software for internal dose assessment in nuclear medicine. *J Nucl Med.* 2005;46:1023–1027.
- Stabin MG, Siegel JA. Physical models and dose factors for use in internal dose assessment. *Health Phys.* 2003;85:294–310.
- 28. Hausner SH, DiCara D, Marik J, Marshall JF, Sutcliffe JL. Use of a peptide derived from foot-and-mouth disease virus for the noninvasive imaging of human cancer: generation and evaluation of 4-(¹⁸F)fluorobenzoyl A20FMDV2 for in vivo imaging of integrin $\alpha_v\beta_6$ expression with positron emission tomography. *Cancer Res.* 2007;67:7833–7840.
- 29. Hausner SH, Kukis DL, Gagnon MK, et al. Evaluation of (⁶⁴Cu)Cu-DOTA and (⁶⁴Cu)Cu-CB-TE2A chelates for targeted positron emission tomography with an $\alpha_{\nu}\beta_{e}$ -specific peptide. *Mol Imaging*. 2009;8:111–121.
- John AE, Luckett JC, Tatler AL, et al. Preclinical SPECT/CT imaging of αvβ6 integrins for molecular stratification of idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. J Nucl Med. 2013;54:2146–2152.
- Saha A, Ellison D, Thomas GJ, et al. High-resolution *in vivo* imaging of breast cancer by targeting the pro-invasive integrin alphavbeta6. *J Pathol.* 2010;222: 52–63.
- Hays MT, Watson EE, Thomas SR, Stabin M. MIRD dose estimate report no. 19: radiation absorbed dose estimates from ¹⁸F-FDG. J Nucl Med. 2002;43:210–214.