

22. Teräs M, Tolvanen T, Johansson J, William J, Knuuti J. Performance of the new generation of whole-body PET/CT scanners: Discovery STE and Discovery VCT. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. 2007;34:1683–1692.
23. Mawlawi O, Truong M, Marom E, et al. Clinical evaluation of 2D versus 3D whole body FDG imaging performed on two PET scanners with different detectors [abstract]. *J Nucl Med*. 2007;489(suppl 2):442P.
24. Sanghera B, Lowe J, Lowe G, et al. Clinical study of 2D and 3D scan time reduction in head/neck cancer with BGO based PET/CT using statistical image analysis. *IEEE Nucl Sci Symp Conf Rec*. 2006;5:2658–2661.
25. Schoder H, Erdi YE, Chao K, et al. Clinical implications of different image reconstruction parameters for interpretation of whole-body PET studies in cancer patients. *J Nucl Med*. 2004;45:559–566.

### Erratum

In the article “An Automated Method for Delineating a Reference Region Using Masked Volumewise Principal-Component Analysis in  $^{11}\text{C}$ -PIB PET,” by Razifar et al. (*J Nucl Med Technol*. 2009;37:38–44), the figure panel letters in the legends of Figures 3 and 4 were incorrect. The MVW-PC1 images were, in fact, shown in panels A, D, and G; the MVW-PC2 images in panels B, E, and H; and the MVW-PC3 images in panels C, F, and I. The authors regret the error.